arXiv:1302.6864v1 [math.SG] 27 Feb 2013

EQUIVARIANT JEFFREY-KIRWAN LOCALIZATION THEOREM IN NON-COMPACT SETTING

ZSOLT SZILÁGYI

Abstract. We generalize the Jeffrey-Kirwan localization theorem ([JK1], [JK2]) for non-compact symplectic and hyperKähler quotients. Similarly to the circle compact integration of [HP2] we define equivariant integrals on non-compact manifolds using the Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne localization formula as formal definition. We introduce a so called equivariant Jeffrey-Kirwan residue and we show that it shares similar properties as the usual one. Our localization formula has the same structure as the usual Jeffrey-Kirwan formula, but it uses formal integration and equivariant residue. We also give a version for hyperKähler quotients. Finally, we apply our formula to compute the equivariant cohomology ring of Hilbert scheme of points on the plane constructed as a hyperKähler quotient [Na].

1. Introduction

Let (M,ω) be a non-compact symplectic manifold with Hamiltonian $G\times S$-action and let $\mu_{G\times S}: M\to\mathfrak{g}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*$ be its moment map. We assume that there is an one-dimensional torus K in the center of $G\times S$ such that it has proper and bounded below moment map. We define integrals of equivariant cohomology classes of M by the Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne formula ([AB], [BeV]), assuming that $M^{T\times S}$ is compact

$$\oint_M \alpha := \sum_{F\times M^{T\times S}} \int_F \frac{i_F^*\alpha}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(F\,|\,M)}$$

where $\alpha\in H_{G\times S}(M)$, $T\subset G$ maximal torus and $\mathcal{N}(F\,|\,M)$ normal bundle of F in M. Let $0\in(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$ be a regular value of μ_G and consider the symplectic quotient $M/\!\!/G=\mu^{-1}(0)/G$ (we suppose that it is non-compact). In Theorem 13 we give the following formula for integrals on the quotient

$$\oint_{M/\!\!/G}\kappa_S(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{G\times S}})=\lim_{s\to 0}\operatorname{EqRes}_x\left(\frac{\varpi}{|W|vol(T)}\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}+s\rho}\right)(x),$$

where $\kappa_S: H_{G\times S}(M)\to H_S(M/\!\!/G)$ is the equivariant Kirwan map, ϖ is the product of roots of G, $|W|$ is the order of its Weyl group, ρ is a small regular value of the torus moment map μ_T (we can forget about it if 0 is a regular value of μ_T), and the equivariant Jeffrey-Kirwan residue EqRes_x is defined in section 2.2. For hyperKähler quotient we have a similar formula (Theorem 14)

$$\oint_{M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}G}\kappa_S(\alpha e^{\omega_{\mathbb{R}}-\mu_{\mathbb{R}}-\mu_S+\xi})=\lim_{s\to 0}\operatorname{EqRes}_x\left(\frac{\varpi_{\mathbb{R}}\vartheta\varpi_{\mathbb{C}}}{|W|vol(T)}\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega_{\mathbb{R}}-\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^T-\mu_S+\xi+s\rho}\right)(x),$$

where $\kappa_S : H_{G\times S}(M) \to H_S(M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}G)$ is the Kirwan map, $\omega_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}$ are the real symplectic form and the moment map on M, $\vartheta\varpi_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the product of $T\times S$-weights on $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ (it is assumed that $(\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{C}})^S = \{0\}$), and $\varpi_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the product of roots.

The content of the paper is as follows. In the first part of section 2 we define and review properties of the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue. In the second part of the section we introduce the equivariant Jeffrey-Kirwan residue and we show that it has the same properties as the usual one. In section 3 we review in detail the symplectic cut technique which will be used in the subsequent section. In section 4 we first prove a generalization of the Jeffrey-Kirwan localization formula (Theorem 11) using similar techniques as in [JKo]. We use it to prove the non-compact version (Theorem 12 and 13). Moreover, we prove first the abelian version of the theorem and then by the same method of [Ma2] we deduce the non-abelian version. We conclude the section with the discussion of the hyperKähler case (Theorem 14). In the last section we apply our formulas for computation of the equivariant cohomology ring of Hilbert scheme of points on the plane (Theorem 16), constructed as hyerpKähler quotient [Na]. By equivariant formality the ordinary cohomology may be derived (cf. [LS], [Va]).

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to András Szenes and Michèle Vergne for discussions.

2. Iterated residues

In this section we recall the definition of the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue ([JK1], [JK2]) and some of its properties (cf. [BiV], [JKo]). We prove that under some analyticity condition it is independent on choice of bases and polarization. In the second part of the section we introduce an equivariant version of the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue. It is defined in terms of ordinary Jeffrey-Kirwan residue and we prove that it admits similar properties.

2.1. Jeffrey-Kirwan residue.

Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be an r-dimensional real vector space.

Definition. Let $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ be an ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*$. For any non-zero $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we define its polarization as

$$\widetilde{\alpha} := \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } a_1 = \ldots = a_{k-1} = 0,\, a_k > 0, \\ -\alpha & \text{if } a_1 = \ldots = a_{k-1} = 0,\, a_k < 0. \end{cases}$$

We say that α is polarized with respect to x if $\alpha = \widetilde{\alpha}$. We define $\varepsilon(\alpha) \in \{\pm 1\}$ by $\alpha = \varepsilon(\alpha)\cdot\widetilde{\alpha}$. The set of polarized vectors in $\mathfrak{t}^*$ form a cone, which we call the polarized cone of $\mathfrak{t}^*$.

The relationship between our notion of polarization and the one used by Jeffrey and Kirwan is as follows.

Remark 1. Let $\mathcal{A} = [\alpha_i \,|\, i \in I]$ be a finite collection of non-zero vectors in $\mathfrak{t}^*$. All possible simultaneous polarizations of elements of $\mathcal{A}$ are parametrized by connected components Λ of

$\{t \in \mathfrak{t} \,|\, \alpha_i(t) \neq 0, \forall i \in I\}$ as follows. For any $\xi \in \Lambda$ define

$$\overline{\alpha}_i := \begin{cases} \alpha_i & \text{if } \alpha_i(\xi) > 0, \\ -\alpha_i & \text{if } \alpha_i(\xi) < 0, \end{cases}$$

which does not depend on the choice of ξ. Consider an ordered bases $x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $x_1(\xi) = 1$, $x_2(\xi) = \ldots = x_r(\xi) = 0$. The polarization $\widetilde{\alpha}_i$ of α_i with respect to x agrees with $\overline{\alpha}_i$. Conversely, given x ordered bases consider $\Lambda = \{t \in \mathfrak{t} \,|\, \widetilde{\alpha}_i(t) > 0, \forall i \in I\}$, which is non-empty and $\overline{\alpha}_i$ agrees with $\widetilde{\alpha}_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Let $\lambda_I, \alpha_i \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, $(i \in I)$, $P_I \in S\mathfrak{t}^* = \mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{t}]$ and consider the function $F = \frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i}$. Write F in bases x and denote it by $F(x)$.

Definition. For $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ non-zero vectors we define

$$\mathrm{Res}_{x_k|\beta_k} \ldots \mathrm{Res}_{x_1|\beta_1} F(x) dx_1 \ldots dx_k \tag{1}$$

inductively as follows. If $\beta_1 \notin \langle x_2, \ldots, x_r \rangle$ then from $u_1 = \widetilde{\beta}_1(x)$ we express x_1 in terms of $u_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$, i.e. $x_1 = \beta_1'(u_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r)$. We substitute $x_1 = \beta_1'$ in $F(x)$ and we expand it as $u_1 \ll x_2, \ldots, x_r$. Denote the result by $\mathcal{F}(u_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r)$. For $i > 1$ let $\beta_i' = \pi_{\langle \beta_1 \rangle} \beta_i$ be the projection of β_i to $\langle x_2, \ldots, x_r \rangle$ along β_1, i.e. $\beta_i'(x_2, \ldots, x_r) = \beta_i(\beta_1'(0, x_2, \ldots, x_r), x_2, \ldots, x_r)$. Then (1) is defined as

$$\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{\beta}_1(x)}{\partial x_1} \right)^{-1} \mathrm{Res}_{x_k|\beta_k'} \ldots \mathrm{Res}_{x_2|\beta_2'} \mathrm{Res}_{u_1=0} \mathcal{F}(u_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) du_1 dx_2 \ldots dx_r.$$

If $\beta_1 \in \langle x_2, \ldots, x_r \rangle$ then we set (1) to zero.

If

$$\pi_{\langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{i-1} \rangle} \beta_i \in \langle x_i, \ldots, x_r \rangle \setminus \langle x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_r \rangle \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, k \tag{2}$$

then the system of equations

$$u_1 = \widetilde{\beta_1}(x), \; u_2 = \widetilde{\pi_{\langle \beta_1 \rangle} \beta_2}(x), \; \ldots, \; u_k = \widetilde{\pi_{\langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k-1} \rangle} \beta_k}(x) \tag{3}$$

can be expressed in matrix form

$$(u_1, \ldots, u_k)^t = B \cdot (x_1, \ldots, x_r)^t \tag{4}$$

where $B \in M_{k,r}(\mathbb{R})$ is upper triangular matrix with positive diagonal entries and we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_{x_k|\beta_k} \ldots \mathrm{Res}_{x_1|\beta_1} \frac{P_I(x) e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x)} dx_1 \ldots dx_k = \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \cdot \mathrm{Res}_{u_k=0} \ldots \mathrm{Res}_{u_1=0} \frac{\mathcal{F}_I(u, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_r) e^{(\lambda_I')_1 u_1 + \ldots + (\lambda_I')_k u_k + \pi_{\langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \rangle} \lambda(x)}}{\prod_{\alpha' \in \mathcal{A}'} \alpha'(x)} du_1 \ldots du_k,$$

where δ is the product of diagonal entries in B, $\mathcal{A}'$ is the collection of all non-zero $\pi_{\langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \rangle} \alpha_i \in \langle x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_r \rangle$, $(i \in I)$, $\frac{\mathcal{F}_I(u, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_k)}{\prod_{\alpha' \in \mathcal{A}'} \alpha'(x)}$ is the Laurent series in $u_1, \ldots, u_k$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}[[x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_r]]$ got from $\frac{P_I(x)}{\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x)}$ after base change (4) and expansion as $u_1 \ll \ldots \ll u_k \ll x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_r$. If $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ does not satisfy (2) then (1) is zero.

Remark. For $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ satisfying (2) we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_{x_k|\beta_k} \ldots \mathrm{Res}_{x_1|\beta_1} = \mathrm{Res}_{x_k|\gamma_k} \ldots \mathrm{Res}_{x_1|\gamma_1}$$

if and only if $\pi_{\langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{i-1}\rangle}\beta_i = c_i \cdot \pi_{\langle \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}\rangle}\gamma_i$ for some constants $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^*$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. In this case we say that tuples $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ are equivalent.

Remark 2. $\mathrm{Res}_{x_k|\beta_k} \ldots \mathrm{Res}_{x_1|\beta_1} \frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)} dx_1 \ldots dx_k$ may not be zero only if there are $i_1, \ldots, i_k \in I$ such that $\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ are equivalent. If they are equivalent we may suppose that $\beta_l = \alpha_{i_l}$ for all $l = 1, \ldots, k$.

Definition. We define $\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_k|\beta_k} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\beta_1} \frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)} dx_1 \ldots dx_k$ to be equal to (5) if (2) holds and $(\lambda'_I)_1, \ldots, (\lambda'_I)_k \geq 0$, otherwise it is defined to be zero. Moreover, define

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_k} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1} \frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)} dx_1 \ldots dx_k = \sum \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_k|\alpha_{i_k}} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}} \frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)} dx_1 \ldots dx_k,$$

where the sum is all over non-equivalent tuples $\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}$, $i_1, \ldots, i_k \in I$. We use short notation Res^+_x for $\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1}$.

Definition. Fix a scalar product and an orientation of $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Let $x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ be an ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Define

$$\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{det(x_i, x_j)_{i,j}}} \mathrm{Res}^+_x F(x)dx,$$

where $\det(x_i, x_j)_{i,j}$ is the determinant of the Gramm matrix $[(x_i, x_j)]^r_{i,j=1}$.

Remark 3. If $\tau = \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r\}$ is an orthonormal bases with the same orientation as x, then

$$\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)dx = \det\left(\frac{\partial x_i(\tau)}{\partial \tau_j}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{Res}^+_x F(x)dx.$$

Definition. $\rho \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ is generic with respect to $F = \sum_I \frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$ if it is not on any $(r-1)$- or less dimensional affine subspace $\lambda_I + \langle \alpha_j \in J \subset I\rangle$. It is equivalent to 0 is generic with respect to $Fe^{-\rho}$.

Definition. A bases $x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ is generic with respect to $F = \sum_I \frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$ if for any $\lambda_I \notin \langle \alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}\rangle$, $(i_1, \ldots, i_k \in I)$ we have $\lambda_I \notin \langle \alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}, x_{j_{k+1}}, \ldots, x_{j_{r-1}}\rangle$ for any $j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_{r-1}$.

Remark. Let x is generic with respect to F. If $\lambda_I + \langle \alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}\rangle \cap \langle x_{j_1}, \ldots x_{j_l}\rangle \neq \emptyset$ then they intersect transversally.

Remark. If $\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}$ satisfy (2) and x is generic then

(6) $\lambda_I + \langle \alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}\rangle \cap \langle x_{k+2}, \ldots, x_r\rangle \neq \emptyset \;\Leftrightarrow\; 0 \in \lambda_I + \langle \alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}\rangle \;\Leftrightarrow\; \pi_{\langle \alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}\rangle}\lambda_I = 0.$

In addition, if $0 \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ is generic, then

$$\lambda_I = (\lambda'_I)_1 \widetilde{\alpha}_{i_1} + \ldots + (\lambda'_I)_k\, \pi_{\langle \alpha_{i_1},\widetilde{\ldots,\alpha_{i_{k-1}}}\rangle}\alpha_{i_k} + \pi_{\langle \alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}\rangle}\lambda_I$$

with $(\lambda'_I)_1, \ldots, (\lambda'_I)_k \neq 0$.

Definition. A fraction $\frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$ is called generating if α_i, $i \in I$ span $\mathfrak{t}^*$.

Any fraction $\frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$ can be decomposed to sum of generating fractions of form $\frac{e^{\lambda_I}}{\alpha_{i_1}^{n_1+1}\cdots\alpha_{i_r}^{n_r+1}}$, $i_1,\ldots,i_r \in I$, $n_1,\ldots,n_r \geq 0$ and non-generating fractions. The decomposition is not unique. Moreover, Res_x and Res_x^+ vanish on non-generating fractions.

Proposition 1 ([JK2] Proposition 3.2)**.** *Let $x = \{x_1,\ldots,x_r\}$ be an ordered bases. Let $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r$ be linearly independent. Suppose that λ not in any $(r-1)$- or less dimensional subspace spanned by some of α_i's. Write $\lambda = \lambda_1\widetilde{\alpha}_1 + \ldots + \lambda_r\widetilde{\alpha}_r$ and $\alpha_i = a_{i1}x_1 + \ldots a_{ir}x_r$, $i = 1,\ldots,r$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r}\ldots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1}\frac{e^{\lambda(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r\alpha_i(x)^{n_i+1}}dx = \begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{|\det([a_{ij}])|}\displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^r\frac{\varepsilon(\alpha_i)^{n_i+1}(\lambda_i)^{n_i}}{n_i!} & \lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_r > 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the proposition for polarized α_i's, i.e. $\varepsilon(\alpha_i) = 1$. First we prove it for $n_1 = \ldots = n_r = 0$ from which we will deduce the general case. We proceed by induction on r. For $r = 1$ the statement is obvious. For $\sigma \in S_n$, $\sigma(1) = j$ if $\alpha_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,\alpha_{\sigma(r)}$ satisfy (2) then we compute

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{\sigma(r)}}\ldots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_2|\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_j}\frac{e^{\lambda(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r\alpha_i(x)}dx = \tag{8}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_j(x)}{\partial x_1}\right)^{-1}\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_{\sigma(r)}}\ldots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_2|\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}\mathrm{Res}^+_{u_1=0}\frac{e^{\lambda'_j u_1+\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\lambda(x)}}{u_1\prod_{i\neq j}^r(c_{ji}u_1+\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_i(x))}du_1dx_2\ldots dx_r$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial\alpha_j(x)}{\partial x_1}\right)^{-1}\chi_{[0,+\infty)}(\lambda'_j)\,\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_{\sigma(r)}}\ldots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_2|\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}\frac{e^{\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\lambda(x)}}{\prod_{i\neq j}^r\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_i(x)}dx_2\ldots dx_r,$$

where $u_1 = \alpha_j(x)$, $\chi_{[0,+\infty)}$ is the characteristic function of $[0,+\infty)$ and $c_{ji} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha_i = c_{ji}\alpha_j + \pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_i$. By induction,

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r}\ldots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1}\frac{e^{\lambda(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r\alpha_i(x)}dx = \sum_{j=1}^r\sum_{\substack{\sigma\in S_n\\ \sigma(1)=j}}\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{\sigma(r)}}\ldots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_2|\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_j}\frac{e^{\lambda(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r\alpha_i(x)}dx \tag{9}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^r\frac{1}{\left[\frac{\partial\alpha_j(x)}{\partial x_1}\right]}\chi_{[0,+\infty)}(\lambda'_j)\sum_{\substack{\sigma\in S_n\\ \sigma(1)=j}}\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{\sigma(r)}}\ldots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_2|\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}\frac{e^{\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\lambda(x)}}{\prod_{i\neq j}\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_i(x)}dx_2\ldots dx_r$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^r\frac{1}{\left[\frac{\partial\alpha_j(x)}{\partial x_1}\right]}\chi_{[0,+\infty)}(\lambda'_j)\prod_{i\neq j}\varepsilon(\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_i)\frac{1}{\left|\det\left[\frac{\partial\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_k(x)}{\partial x_l}\right]_{\substack{k\neq j\\ l\neq 1}}\right|}\chi_{Cone(\widetilde{\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_i}\,|\,1\leq i\leq r)}(\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\lambda)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left|\det\left[\frac{\partial\alpha_k(x)}{\partial x_l}\right]\right|}\sum_{j=1}^r\prod_{i\neq j}\varepsilon(\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_i)\,\chi_{Cone(\alpha_j,\,\widetilde{\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_i}\,|\,1\leq i\leq r)}(\lambda)$$

We will show that

$$\chi_{Cone(\alpha_1,\ldots\alpha_r)}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^r\prod_{i\neq j}\varepsilon(\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_i)\,\chi_{Cone(\alpha_j,\,\widetilde{\pi_{\langle\alpha_j\rangle}\alpha_i}\,|\,1\leq i\leq r)}(\lambda). \tag{10}$$

It is enough to show (10) when $\utilde{\alpha_i(x)} = x_1 + \beta_i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq q$, $\alpha_i(x) = \beta_i(x)$, $q < i \leq r$ with $\beta_i \in \langle x_2, \ldots, x_r \rangle$ and $\beta_i - \beta_j = \widetilde{\beta_i - \beta_j}$ if $j < i \leq q$. Then (10) can be reformulated as

$$\chi_{Cone(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r)}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} (-1)^{i-1} \chi_{Cone(\alpha_j,\, \widetilde{\beta_j - \beta_i},\, \beta_l \ \mid\ i,j \leq q,\, q<l)}(\lambda). \tag{11}$$

For any $j \leq q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda = \lambda_1\alpha_1 + \ldots + \lambda_r\alpha_r &= (\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_r)\alpha_j + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i(\beta_i - \beta_j) + \sum_{l>q} \lambda_l \beta_l \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_r)\alpha_j + \sum_{i<j} (-\lambda_i)(\widetilde{\beta_i - \beta_j}) + \sum_{j<i\leq q} \lambda_i(\widetilde{\beta_i - \beta_j}) + \sum_{l>q} \lambda_l \beta_l.\end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis 0 is generic for $\frac{e^\lambda}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i}$, hence $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \neq 0$. We have

$$\chi_{Cone(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r)}(\lambda) = \chi_{(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^r}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r),$$

$$\chi_{Cone(\alpha_j,\, \widetilde{\beta_j - \beta_i},\, \beta_l \,\mid\, i\leq q,\, q<l)}(\lambda) = \chi_{\{s \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^r \,\mid\, \sum_{i=1}^q s_i \geq 0,\, s_i<0,\, s_l>0,\, i<j<l\}}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r).$$

Then (11) is equivalent to the following easy relation on $(\mathbb{R}^*)^r$

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\{s\in(\mathbb{R}^*)^r \,\mid\, s_1,\ldots,s_r>0\}} &= \chi_{\{s\in(\mathbb{R}^*)^r \,\mid\, \sum_{i=1}^q s_i\geq 0,\ s_1,\ldots,s_r>0\}} \\ &= \chi_{\{s\in(\mathbb{R}^*)^r \,\mid\, \sum_{i=1}^q s_i\geq 0,\ s_2,\ldots,s_r>0\}} - \chi_{\{s\in(\mathbb{R}^*)^r \,\mid\, \sum_{i=1}^q s_i\geq 0,\ s_1<0,\ s_3,\ldots,s_r>0\}} \\ &\quad + \chi_{\{s\in(\mathbb{R}^*)^r \,\mid\, \sum_{i=1}^q s_i\geq 0,\ s_1,s_2<0,\ s_4,\ldots,s_r>0\}} \\ &\quad \ldots + (-1)^{q-1}\chi_{\{s\in(\mathbb{R}^*)^r \,\mid\, \sum_{i=1}^q s_i\geq 0,\ s_1,\ldots,s_{q-1}<0,\ s_{q+1},\ldots,s_r>0\}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved (7) for $n_1 = \ldots = n_r = 0$. To deduce the general case we set $y_i = tx_i$, $(t > 0)$ and set $\mathcal{R}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ to the left hand side of (7). Then for $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda^j x_j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1} \frac{e^{t\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i\alpha_i(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(x)^{n_i+1}} dx &= t^{n_1+\ldots+n_r} \mathrm{Res}^+_{y_r} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{y_1} \frac{e^{\lambda^1 y_1 + \ldots + \lambda^r y_r}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (a_{i1}y_1 + \ldots + a_{ir}y_r)^{n_i+1}} dy \\ &= t^{n_1+\ldots+n_r}\mathcal{R}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}.\end{aligned}$$

Take the derivative of both sides with respect to t at $t = 1$ to get

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \mathcal{R}_{n_1,\ldots,n_{i-1},\, n_i-1,\, n_{i+1},\ldots,n_r} = (n_1 + \ldots + n_r)\mathcal{R}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}. \tag{12}$$

From (12) follows (7) by induction on $n_1 + \ldots + n_r$. □

The following cone property will be used extensively through the paper.

Corollary 1 ([JK2] Proposition 3.2)**.** *Let x be an ordered bases. If $\lambda_I \notin Cone(\widetilde{\alpha}_i \mid i \in I)$ then*

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_x \frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)} dx = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{JKRes}_x \frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)} dx = 0. \tag{13}$$

Proof. We can decompose $\frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)}$ to sum of generating and non-generating fractions. On non-generating fractions Res^+_x vanishes. Moreover, the generating fractions are of form $\frac{e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{k=1}^r \alpha_{i_k}(x)^{n_k}}$. Since $Cone(\widetilde{\alpha}_{i_1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\alpha}_{i_r}) \subset Cone(\widetilde{\alpha}_i \mid i \in I)$ for all $i_1, \ldots, i_r \in I$, hence from Proposition 1 follows (13). □

Proposition 2 (cf. [JKo] Lemma 3.3). *Let* $F = \sum_I \frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$ *and suppose that* $0 \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ *is generic with respect to* F*. If* $F(x)$ *is analytic then* $\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)dx$ *is independent of* x*.*

Proof. By the previous proposition the $\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)dx$ may only depend on polarizations. Let $\mathcal{A} = \cup_I\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$. Polarizations on $\mathcal{A}$ correspond to connected components of $\{t \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \alpha(t) \neq 0,\, \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$. These components are open polyhedral cones. Let Λ and Λ' be two neighboring cones, separated by a hyperplane $\{t \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \alpha(t) = 0\}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\xi \neq 0$ be in the relative interior of intersection of closures $cl(\Lambda) \cap cl(\Lambda')$.

Choose an ordered bases $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $x_1(\xi) = 1$, $x_2(\xi) = \ldots = x_{r-1}(\xi) = 0$ and $x_r = \alpha$. Since 0 is generic for F, we can choose ξ and x such that x to be generic with respect to F. Suppose that α is polarized with respect to Λ, hence the ordered bases $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ induces on $\mathcal{A}$ the same polarization as Λ. Also consider the ordered bases $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_r\}$ such that $x'_i = x_i$ for $i < r$ and $x'_r = -x_r$. It induces on $\mathcal{A}$ the same polarization as Λ'.

Let $\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r}$ satisfying (2). From (5) we have

(14)

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}} \sum_I \frac{P_I(x) e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)} dx = \delta^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Res}^+_{u_r=0} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{u_1=0} \sum_I \mathcal{F}_I(u) e^{\sum_{k=1}^r (\lambda'_I)_k u_k} du,$$

where $\delta = \left|\det\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i_k}(x)}{\partial x_l}\right)\right| = \left|\det\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i_k}(x')}{\partial x'_l}\right)\right|$, and $\mathcal{F}_I(u)$ is the Laurent series got from $\frac{P_I(x)}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)}$ by change of variable (4) and expansion with respect to $u_1 \ll u_2 \ll \ldots \ll u_r$. Since 0 and bases x are generic with respect to F, we have $(\lambda'_I)_k \neq 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, r$ and I. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}(u) := \sum_I \mathcal{F}_I(u) e^{\sum_{k=1}^r (\lambda'_I)_k u_k}$ is analytic, hence $\mathrm{Res}^+_{u_r=0}\mathcal{F}(u)du_r = -\mathrm{Res}^-_{u_r=0}\mathcal{F}(u)du_r$. With notation $u'_i = u_i$ for $i < r$ and $u'_r = -u_r$, (14) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}} F(x)dx \\
&\qquad = \delta^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Res}^+_{u_{r-1}=0} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{u_1=0} \mathrm{Res}^+_{u_r=0} \mathcal{F}(u) du_r du_1 \ldots du_{r-1} \\
&\qquad = \delta^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Res}^+_{u_{r-1}=0} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{u_1=0} \mathrm{Res}^-_{u_r=0} - \mathcal{F}(u) du_r du_1 \ldots du_{r-1} \\
&\qquad = \delta^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Res}^-_{u_r=0} \mathrm{Res}^+_{u_{r-1}=0} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{u_1=0} \mathcal{F}(u) du_1 \ldots du_{r-1} d(-u_r) \\
&\qquad = \delta^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Res}^+_{u'_r=0} \mathrm{Res}^+_{u'_{r-1}=0} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{u'_1=0} \mathcal{F}(u') du'_1 \ldots du'_r \\
&\qquad = \mathrm{Res}^+_{x'_r|\alpha_{i_r}} \mathrm{Res}^+_{x'_{r-1}|\alpha_{i_{r-1}}} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x'_1|\alpha_{i_1}} F(x')dx'
\end{aligned}$$

and summing up we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1} F(x)dx &= \sum \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}} F(x)dx \\
&= \sum \mathrm{Res}^+_{x'_r|\alpha_{i_r}} \mathrm{Res}^+_{x'_{r-1}|\alpha_{i_{r-1}}} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x'_1|\alpha_{i_1}} F(x')dx' = \mathrm{Res}^+_{x'_r} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x'_1} F(x')dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

The bases x and x' have the same Gramm matrix $\det[(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1}^r = \det[(x'_i, x'_j)]_{i,j=1}^r$, thus

$$\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)dx = \mathrm{JKRes}_{x'} F(x')dx'.$$

□

Look back what we have showed so far. If 0 is a generic for $F = \sum_I \frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$ then by Proposition 1 the $\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)dx$ depends only on the polarization induced by x on $\cup_I\{\alpha_i \,|\, i \in I\}$, not on the particular bases. We emphasis that x may be non-generic. From the same proposition it also follows that $\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)e^{\rho(x)}dx$ depends continuously on small ρ. If in addition F is analytic then $\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)dx$ does not depend at all on the bases x.

In examples we are interested may arise functions F for which 0 is not generic. The same result will hold if x is a generic bases and F has some additional properties (i.e. it comes from equivariant integration on a compact symplectic manifold or orbifold).

Lemma 1. *Let* 0 *be generic for* $F = \sum_I \frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$. *If* $F(x)$ *is analytic and* 0 *is not contained in the convex hull* $conv(\lambda_I \,|\, I)$ *then for all ordered bases* x *we have*

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_x F(x)dx = 0. \tag{15}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2, it is enough to show (15) for a particular ordered bases x. Since $0 \notin conv(\lambda_I \,|\, I)$, there is hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ containing the set $conv(\lambda_I \,|\, I)$ in one of its open half spaces. Choose the ordered bases $x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ such that $\mathcal{H} = \langle x_2, \ldots, x_r\rangle$ and $conv(\lambda_I \,|\, I) \subset \{\sum_{k=1}^r a_k x_k \,|\, a_1 < 0\}$. But all polarized vectors lie in $\{\sum_{k=1}^r a_k x_k \,|\, a_1 \geq 0\}$, therefore from Corollary 1 follows (15). □

Lemma 2. *Let* $x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ *be an ordered bases and let* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ *satisfying (2). For* $i \leq k$ *define* v_i *to be the projection of* x_i *to* $\langle\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\rangle$ *along* $\langle x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_r\rangle$ *and for* $i > k$ *let* $v_i = x_i$. *Write* $F(x)$ *in bases* $v = \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ *and denote it by* $F(v)$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_k|\alpha_k} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_1} F(x)dx_1 \ldots dx_k = \mathrm{Res}^+_{v_k|\alpha_k} \ldots \mathrm{Res}^+_{v_1|\alpha_1} F(v)dv_1 \ldots dv_k. \tag{16}$$

Proof. We emphasis that $\pi_{\langle\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{l-1}\rangle}\alpha_l(x)$ denotes the projection of α_l to $\langle x_l, \ldots, x_r\rangle$ along $\langle\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}\rangle$ and similarly, $\pi_{\langle\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{l-1}\rangle}\alpha_l(v)$ denotes the projection of α_l to $\langle v_l, \ldots, v_r\rangle$ along $\langle\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}\rangle$. We have $\pi_{\langle\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{l-1}\rangle}\alpha_l(x) \in \langle\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\rangle \cap \langle x_l, \ldots, x_r\rangle$ and $\pi_{\langle\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{l-1}\rangle}\alpha_l(v) \in \langle\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\rangle \cap \langle v_l, \ldots, v_r\rangle$, but

$$\langle x_l, \ldots, x_r\rangle = \langle v_l, \ldots, v_r\rangle. \tag{17}$$

Therefore

$$\pi_{\langle\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{l-1}\rangle}\alpha_l(x) = \pi_{\langle\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{l-1}\rangle}\alpha_l(v),$$

because they are the projection of α_{l-1} along $\langle\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}\rangle$ to (17). Consider the systems of equations

$$u_1 = \widetilde{\alpha}_1(x),\ \ldots,\ u_k = \widetilde{\pi_{\langle\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1}\rangle}\alpha_k}(x),\ u_{k+1} = x_{k+1},\ \ldots,\ u_r = x_r$$

and

$$u_1 = \widetilde{\alpha}_1(v),\ \ldots,\ u_k = \widetilde{\pi_{\langle\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1}\rangle}\alpha_k}(v),\ u_{k+1} = v_{k+1},\ \ldots,\ u_r = v_r.$$

Write then in matrix form

$$(u_1, \ldots, u_r) = B' \cdot (x_1, \ldots, x_r)^t, \qquad (u_1, \ldots, u_r) = B'' \cdot (v_1, \ldots, v_r)^t.$$

Remark that the first $r \times r$ minors of B' and B'' agree, and denote it by B_r. Write $F(x)$ and $F(v)$ in bases u and expand it with respect to $u_1 \ll u_2 \ll \ldots \ll u_k \ll u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_r$ and denote the result by $\mathcal{F}'(u)$ and $\mathcal{F}''(u)$, respectively. We have $\mathcal{F}'(u) = \mathcal{F}''(u)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_k|\alpha_k}\dots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_1}F(x)dx_1\dots dx_k &= \det(B_r)^{-1}\mathrm{Res}^+_{u_k=0}\dots\mathrm{Res}^+_{u_1=0}\mathcal{F}'(u)du_1\dots du_k\\ &= \det(B_r)^{-1}\mathrm{Res}^+_{u_k=0}\dots\mathrm{Res}^+_{u_1=0}\mathcal{F}''(u)du_1\dots du_k = \mathrm{Res}^+_{v_k|\alpha_k}\dots\mathrm{Res}^+_{v_1|\alpha_1}F(v)dv_1\dots dv_k.\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition. Let x be a generic bases with respect to $F = \sum_I \frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$. The order of

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}}\dots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}}\frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)}dx,$$

$(i_1,\dots,i_r \in I)$ is equal to $\mathrm{ord}(i_1,\dots,i_r;I) = k$ if

$$\lambda_I(x) = \sum_{l=1}^r (\lambda_I')_l \pi_{\langle\widetilde{\alpha_{i_1},\dots,\alpha_{i_{l-1}}}\rangle}\alpha_{i_l}$$

with $(\lambda_I')_1,\dots,(\lambda_I')_k \neq 0$ and $(\lambda_I')_{k+1} = \dots = (\lambda_I')_r = 0$.

Remark 4. If $\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}}\dots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}}\frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)}dx$ is of order k, then

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}}\dots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}}\frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)+\rho(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)}dx \tag{18}$$

depends continuously on small $\rho \in \langle\alpha_{i_1},\dots,\alpha_{i_k}\rangle$ (small means that it stays of order k).

Let (M,ω) be a compact hamiltonian T-manifold with moment map $\mu : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$. We choose a compatible triple $(\omega, g, \mathcal{I})$ on M, where g Riemannian metric, $\mathcal{I}$ almost complex structure. Consider

$$\oint_M \eta e^{\omega-\mu} := \sum_{X_I\subset M^T}\int_{X_I}\frac{i^*_{X_I}(\eta e^{\omega-\mu})}{e_T\mathcal{N}(X_I|M)} =: \sum_I \frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i} = F \tag{19}$$

where I indexes the fixed point component $X_I \subset M^T$ and α_i, $i \in I$ are isotropy weights of T-action on the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}(X_I|M)$ of X_I in M, and $\lambda_I = -\mu(X_I)$.

Definition. Let $S \subset T$ be a subtorus and let $N \subset M^S$ be a connected component. The convex subpolytope $\mu(N)$ is called wall of the moment polytope $\mu(M)$.

Let $\alpha_{i_1},\dots,\alpha_{i_r}$ satisfy (2). To any $\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}}\dots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}}\frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)}dx$, $(i_1,\dots,i_r \in I)$ we associate a series of walls of $\mu(M)$ as follows. For any $l < r$ let $S_l \subset T$ subtorus such that $Lie(S_l) = \mathfrak{s}_l := \cap_{j=1}^l \ker\alpha_{i_j}$. We can identify $Lie(T/S_l)^* = \ker(\mathfrak{t}^* \to \mathfrak{s}_l^*) = \langle\alpha_{i_1},\dots,\alpha_{i_l}\rangle$. Let N_l be a the connected component of M^{S_l} containing the fixed point component $X_I \subset M^T$. Then $N_l^T = N_l^{T/S_l}$ and $\mu(N_l) \subset \lambda_I + \langle\alpha_{i_1},\dots,\alpha_{i_l}\rangle$ having dimension $\dim\mu(N_l) = l$. We call $\lambda_I + \langle\alpha_{i_1},\dots,\alpha_{i_l}\rangle$ the plane of $\mu(N_l)$. Moreover, if $\mathrm{ord}(i_1,\dots,i_r;I) \leq l$ then 0 is in the plane of $\mu(N_l)$.

Lemma 3. *Let x be a generic bases with respect to F as in (19). Denote $\mathcal{W}_k(M)$ the set of k-dimensional walls $\mu(N)$ of $\mu(M)$ containing* 0 *in their plane. Then*

$$\sum_{\mathrm{ord}(i_1,\dots,i_r;I)\leq k}\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}}\dots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}}\frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)}dx = \sum_{\mu(N)\in\mathcal{W}_k(M)}\mathrm{Res}^+_w\mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)}F_N(v(N),w)dv(N)dw,$$

where $v_1(N), \dots v_k(N)$ *are the projections of* $x_1, \dots, x_k$ *to the plane* $\mu(N)$ *along* $\langle x_{k+1}, \dots, x_r \rangle$, $w_i = x_i$ *for* $i > k$, *and* $F_N(v(N), w)$ *is equal to* $\oint_N \frac{i_N^*(\eta e^{\omega-\mu})}{e_T(N|M)} = \sum_{X_I \subset N^T} \int_{X_I} \frac{i_{X_I}^*(\eta e^{\omega-\mu})}{e_T(X_I|M)}$ *written in bases* $\{v(N), w\}$ *and expanded with respect to* $v_i(N) \ll w_j$ *for all* $i \leq k < j$.

Proof. Let

$$\operatorname{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}} \dots \operatorname{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}} \frac{P_I(x) e^{\lambda_I(x)}}{\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x)} dx \tag{20}$$

be of order $l \leq k$, and let N_k be its associated k-dimensional wall in $\mu(M)$ and 0 is contained in the plane of $\mu(N_k)$. Then $\frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I} \alpha_i} = \int_{X_I} \frac{i_{X_I}^*(\eta e^{\omega-\mu})}{e_T \mathcal{N}(X_I|M)}$, $X_I \subset N_k^T$ is the summand of $\oint_{N_k} \frac{i_{N_k}^*(\eta e^{\omega-\mu})}{e_T \mathcal{N}(N_k|M)}$. By Lemma 2, (20) is equal to

$$\operatorname{Res}^+_{w_r|\alpha_{i_r}} \dots \operatorname{Res}^+_{w_{k+1}|\alpha_{i_{k+1}}} \operatorname{Res}^+_{v_k(N_k)|\alpha_{i_k}} \dots \operatorname{Res}^+_{v_k(N_k)|\alpha_{i_1}} \frac{P_I(v(N_k), w) e^{\lambda_I(v(N_k), w)}}{\prod_{i\in I} \alpha_i(v(N_k), w)} dv(N_k) dw,$$

which is a summand of $\operatorname{Res}^+_w \operatorname{Res}^+_{v(N_k)} F_{N_k}(v(N_k), w) dv(N_k) dw$.

Conversely, if $\mu(N)$ is a k-dimensional wall of $\mu(M)$ with plane containing 0, then any summand of $\operatorname{Res}^+_w \operatorname{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N), w) dv(N) dw$ is of form (20) having order $l \leq k$. □

Remark 5. Let $S \subset T$ be a subtorus and let $N \subset M^S$ be a fixed point component. Recall that we can identify $Lie(T/S)^* = \ker(\mathfrak{t}^* \to \mathfrak{s}^*)$. $\mathcal{N}(N|M)$ S-equivariantly splits to sum of line bundles $\oplus_j L_j$. Then $e_T(L_j) = \gamma_j + e_{T/S}(L_j)$, where $0 \neq \gamma_j \in \mathfrak{s}^*$. We may identify $\mathfrak{s}^*$ to $\langle w_{k+1}, \dots, w_r \rangle \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$. Hence, if we expand $e_T(L_j)(v(N), w) = \gamma_j(w) + e_{T/S}(L_j)(v(N))$ as $v_i(N) \ll w_j$, $i \leq k < j$, then $\frac{1}{e_T \mathcal{N}(N|M)}$ is analytic in $v(N)$. Therefore $F_N(v(N), W)$ defined in Lemma 3 is analytic in $v(N)$ by compactness of N.

We have the following vanishing result.

Proposition 3. *Let* x *be a generic bases with respect to* F *as in (19). Suppose that* 0 *is not on any wall of* $\mu(M)$, *i.e* 0 *is regular value of* μ. *Then for any* $k < r$

$$\sum_{\deg(i_1, \dots, i_r; I) = k} \operatorname{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}} \dots \operatorname{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}} \frac{P_I(x) e^{\lambda_I(x) + \rho(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I} \alpha_i(x)} dx = 0 \tag{21}$$

for any ρ *in a small neighborhood of* 0.

Proof. First, we will show by induction on k that for any $\mu(N) \in \mathcal{W}_k(M)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N), w) e^{\rho(v(N), w)} dv(N) = 0 \tag{22}$$

with $F_N(v(N), w)$ defined in Lemma 3 for any ρ in a small neighborhood of 0.

Let k_0 be the smallest number such that $\mathcal{W}_{k_0}(M) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 3 this is equal to the smallest order. Since x is generic, we have $k_0 > 0$. Consider w as a fixed parameter and remark that 0 is generic for $F_N(v(N), w)$ as fraction in $v(N)$. Furthermore, 0 is generic for $F_N(v(N), w) e^{\rho'(v(N))}$ for small $\rho' \in \langle v_1(N), \dots, v_{k_0}(N) \rangle$. Since 0 is not contained in the convex polytope $-\mu(N) + \rho'(v(N))$ and $F_N(v(N), w)$ is analytic in $v(N)$, Lemma 1 we have

$\mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\rho'(v(N))}dv(N) = 0$. Moreover, we can write any small ρ as $\rho(v(N),w) = \rho'(v(N)) + \rho''(w)$, thus

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\rho(v(N),w)}dv(N) = \mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\rho'(v(N),w)}dv(N)e^{\rho''(w)} = 0.$$

Thus we have showed (22) for $k = k_0$. For general $k < r$

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)dv(N)$$

can be written as sum of degree k terms and lower degree terms. The sum of lower degree terms is equal to $\sum_{\mu(N')\in\mathcal{W}_l(N),\, l<k} \mathrm{Res}^+_{w'}\mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N')} F_{N'}(v(N'),w',w)dv(N')dw'$, where $w' = \{v_{l+1}(N),\dots,v_k(N)\}$. By induction hypothesis,

$$\sum_{\mu(N')\in\mathcal{W}_l(N),\, l<k} \mathrm{Res}^+_{w'}\mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N')} F_{N'}(v(N'),w',w)e^{\theta(v(N'),w')}dv(N')dw' = 0 \tag{23}$$

for all $\theta \in \langle v_1(N),\dots,v_k(N)\rangle$ small. From (23) and Remark 4 follows that

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\theta(v(N))}dv(N)$$

depends continuously on small θ. Fix θ small and let $\varrho_N(v(N))$ such that 0 is generic for $F_N(v(N),w)e^{\theta(v(N))+\varrho_N(v(N))}$ as fraction in $v(N)$. Then

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\theta(v(N))}dv(N) = \lim_{s\to 0} \mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\theta(v(N))+s\varrho_N(v(N))}dv(N) = 0, \tag{24}$$

by Lemma 1. We can write any small ρ as $\rho(v(N),w) = \theta(v(N)) + \vartheta(w)$ and we have

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\rho(v(N),w)}dv(N) = \mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\theta(v(N))}dv(N)e^{\vartheta(w)} = 0,$$

by (24). In particular, if $\mu(N) \in \mathcal{W}_k(M)$, $k < r$ then for all ρ small we have

$$\mathrm{Res}^+_w \mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\rho(v(N),w)}dv(N)dw = 0. \tag{25}$$

From Lemma 3 follows that for small ρ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\deg(i_1,\dots,i_r;I)=k} &\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r|\alpha_{i_r}} \dots \mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1|\alpha_{i_1}} \frac{P_I(x)e^{\lambda_I(x)+\rho(x)}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i(x)}dx \\ &= \sum_{\mu(N)\in\mathcal{W}_k(M)} \mathrm{Res}^+_w \mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\rho(v(N),w)}dv(N)dw \\ &\quad - \sum_{\mu(N)\in\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(M)} \mathrm{Res}^+_w \mathrm{Res}^+_{v(N)} F_N(v(N),w)e^{\rho(v(N),w)}dv(N)dw = 0,\end{aligned}$$

by (25). ☐

Proposition 4. *Let x be a generic bases with respect to F as in (19). Suppose that 0 is not on any wall of $\mu(M)$. Then*

(a) $\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)e^{\rho(x)}dx$ *depends continuously on ρ small.*

(b) $\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)dx$ *does not depend on the choice of generic bases x, i.e. if y is another generic bases with respect to F, then* $\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)dx = \mathrm{JKRes}_y F(y)dy$.

Proof. (a) By Remark 4 and Proposition 3 we have that $\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_r}\dots\mathrm{Res}^+_{x_1}F(x)e^{\rho(x)}dx$ depends continuously on small ρ.

(b) Let ρ be small such that 0 is generic for $F(x)e^{\rho(x)}$. Then

$$\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)dx \;=\; \lim_{s\to 0}\mathrm{JKRes}_x F(x)e^{s\rho(x)}dx \;=\; \lim_{s\to 0}\mathrm{JKRes}_y F(x)e^{s\rho(y)}dy \;=\; \mathrm{JKRes}_y F(y)dy.$$

□

2.2. **Equivariant Jeffrey-Kirwan residue.** The equivariant Jeffrey-Kirwan residue can be thought as a parametric version of the usual one, but the additional freedom in the choice of polarization gives more flexibility.

Let $\mathfrak{k}^*$ and $\mathfrak{s}^*$ be real vector spaces of dimension q and $r-q$, respectively. Set $\mathfrak{t}^*=\mathfrak{k}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*$. Let s be a bases of $\mathfrak{s}^*$.

Definition. A $\mathfrak{k}^*$-pole (or simply a pole) in $\mathfrak{t}^*$ is a q-dimensional vector space V such that $V\oplus\mathfrak{s}^*=\mathfrak{t}^*$.

Let $x=\{x_1,\dots,x_r\}$ be an ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*$. It induces polarization on each V as follows. Let $\{x^1,\dots,x^r\}\subset\mathfrak{t}$ be its dual bases. Denote $\nu:\mathfrak{t}\to V^*$ the adjoint of the inclusion $V\hookrightarrow\mathfrak{t}^*$. Let $\{v^1=\nu(x^{i_1}),\dots,v^q=\nu(x^{i_q})\}$ be the bases of V^* such that $i_1+\ldots+i_q$ is minimal and let $v=\{v_1,\dots,v_q\}\subset V$ be its dual bases. We call v the bases of V induced by x.

Lemma. *Let $\alpha\in V$ be a non-zero vector. Then α is polarized with respect to x if and only if it is polarized with respect to v.*

Proof. Recall that $\alpha\in V$ is polarized with respect to x if $\alpha(x^1)=\ldots=\alpha(x^{k-1})=0$ and $\alpha(x^k)>0$ for some k. Then we have $\alpha(\nu(x^1))=\ldots=\alpha(\nu(x^{k-1}))=0$ and $\alpha(\nu(x^k))>0$. Moreover, $\nu(x^1),\dots,\nu(x^{k-1})$ cannot span V^*, hence by minimality condition $\nu(x^k)=v^l$ for some l and $v^1,\dots,v^{l-1}\in\{\nu(x^1),\dots,\nu(x^{k-1})\}$. Thus α is polarized by v.

Conversely, let $\alpha\in V$ be polarized by v, i.e. $\alpha(v^1)=\ldots=\alpha(v^{l-1})=0$ and $\alpha(v^l)>0$. We have $\alpha(x^{i_1})=\ldots=\alpha(x^{i_{l-1}})=0$ and $\alpha(x^{i_l})>0$. By minimality, for all $j<i_l$ we have $\nu(x^j)\in\langle\nu(x^{i_1}),\dots,\nu(x^{i_{l-1}})\rangle$, therefore $\alpha(\nu(x^j))=\alpha(x^j)=0$. Thus α is also polarized by x. □

Definition. Fix a scalar product on $\mathfrak{k}^*$ and on each k^*-pole V we consider the pull-back scalar product via isomorphism $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}|_V:V\to\mathfrak{k}^*$. Let $F=\sum_I\frac{P_Ie^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$ and we define its equivariant Jeffrey-Kirwan residue as

$$\mathrm{EqRes}_x F(x)=\sum_{V\ \mathfrak{k}^*\text{-pole}}\mathrm{JKRes}_v\mathcal{F}(v,s)dv,$$

where v is the induced bases on V by x and $\mathcal{F}(v,s)$ is the expansion of $F(v,s)$ as $v\ll s$.

Definition. A fraction $\frac{P_Ie^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$ is called $\mathfrak{k}^*$-generating if $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\alpha_i)$, $i\in I$ generate $\mathfrak{k}^*$.

Any fraction $\frac{P_Ie^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i\in I}}$ can be decomposed to sum of $\mathfrak{k}^*$-generating fractions of form $\frac{e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod\limits_{j\in J}\beta_j\prod\limits_{i=1}^{q}\alpha_i^{n_i+1}}$ with $\beta_j\in\mathfrak{s}^*$, and non-$\mathfrak{k}^*$-generating fractions. Remark that EqRes_x vanishes on non-$\mathfrak{k}^*$-generating fractions.

Remark. In the definition of EqRes it is enough to consider poles $V = \langle \alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_q} \rangle$ with $i_1, \dots, i_q \in I$ since for other poles $\mathcal{F}(v,s)$ will be non-generating in v.

Definition. 0 is generic with respect to F if 0 is generic with respect to $\mathcal{F}(v,s)$ in v (s is considered fixed parameter), where v is the induced bases on V for all poles $V = \langle \alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_q} \rangle$, $i_1, \dots, i_q \in I$.

Definition. x is a generic bases with respect to F if all induced bases v on poles $V = \langle \alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_q} \rangle$, $i_1, \dots, i_q \in I$, are generic with respect to $\mathcal{F}(v,s)$ (s considered as fixed parameter).

Lemma. *Let x be an ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*$, $F = \sum_I \frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i}$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \cup_I \{\alpha_i \,|\, i \in I\}$. There exits bases y which is generic with respect to F and it induces the same polarization on $\mathcal{A}$ as x.*

Proof. By Remark 1, the polarization on $\mathcal{A}$ induced by x corresponds to a connected component $\Lambda \subset \{t \in \mathfrak{t} \,|\, \alpha(t) > 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Let $\xi \in \Lambda$. We can choose a generic bases y with respect to F such that $y_1(\xi) > 0$ and $y_2(\xi) = \dots = y_r(\xi) = 0$. It will induce the same polarization as x. $\square$

Proposition 5. *Let (M,ω) be a compact symplectic manifold with Hamiltonian $K \times S$-torus action and $\mu = \mu_K \times \mu_S : M \to \mathfrak{k}^* \times \mathfrak{s}^*$ moment map. We suppose that $0 \in \mathfrak{k}^*$ is a regular value of μ_K and let F be as in (19). Let x and y be two ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*$, generic with respect to F. Then*

(a) $\mathrm{EqRes}_x F(x) = \mathrm{EqRes}_y F(y)$ *(independence on polarization),*
(b) $\mathrm{EqRes}_x F(x) e^{\rho(x)}$ *depends continuously on $\rho \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ in a small neighborhood of* 0.

Proof. Let V be a pole and let v and w be bases of V induced by x and y, respectively. Denote $\mathcal{F}(v,s)$ and $\mathcal{F}(w,s)$ respectively the expansions of $F(v,s)$ and $F(w,s)$ with respect to $v \ll s$ and $w \ll s$. It is enough to show that $\mathrm{JKRes}_v \mathcal{F}(v,s) dv = \mathrm{JKRes}_w \mathcal{F}(w,s) dw$.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the set of those I such that there are $i_1, \dots, i_q \in I$ with $V = \langle \alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_q} \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{F}_I(v,s)$ be the expansion of $\frac{P_I(v,s) e^{\lambda_I(v,s)}}{\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i(v,s)}$ with respect to $v \ll s$. If $I \notin \mathcal{J}$ then

$$\mathrm{JKRes}_v \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{F}_I(v,s) dv = 0,$$

since $\mathcal{F}_I(v,s)$ is non-generating in v. Thus

$$\mathrm{JKRes}_v \mathcal{F}(v,s) ds = \mathrm{JKRes}_v \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{F}_I(v,s) dv.$$

Let $H \subset K$ be the subtorus such that $Lie(H) = V^\perp = \{t \in \mathfrak{t} \,|\, \vartheta(t) = 0, \ \forall \vartheta \in V\}$. Denote $\mathcal{V}$ the set of $N \subset M^H$ fixed point components such that $\dim \mu(N) = q$. Remark that $\mu_{T/H}(N) \subset V = Lie(T/H)^*$ and $\mu_K(N) = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}|_V(\mu_{T/H}(N))$. 0 is not on any proper wall of $\mu_{T/H}(N)$ since 0 is a regular value of μ_K. If $I \in \mathcal{J}$ then $\frac{P_I e^{\lambda_I}}{\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i}$ is a summand of

$$\sum_{N \in \mathcal{V}} \oint_N \frac{i_N^*(\eta e^{\omega - \mu})}{e_T \mathcal{N}(N|M)} = \sum_{N \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{X_I \subset N^T} \int_{X_I} \frac{i_{X_I}^*(\eta e^{\omega - \mu})}{e_T \mathcal{N}(X_I|M)}. \tag{26}$$

Moreover, if $X_I \subset N^T = N^{T/H}$ for some $N \in \mathcal{V}$ then $I \in \mathcal{J}$. By Remark 5, $e_T \mathcal{N}(N|M)$ is invertible if $v \ll s$. Hence the expansion $\mathcal{G}(v,s)$ of (26) with respect to $v \ll s$ is analytic in v.

Thus

$$\mathrm{JKRes}_v\mathcal{F}(v,s)dv=\mathrm{JKRes}_v\sum_{I\in\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{F}_I(v,s)dv=\mathrm{JKRes}_v\mathcal{G}(v,s)dv=$$
$$\mathrm{JKRes}_w\mathcal{G}(w,s)dw=\mathrm{JKRes}_w\sum_{I\in\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{F}_I(w,s)dw=\mathrm{JKRes}_w\mathcal{F}(w,s)dw,$$

by Proposition 4.

By Proposition 4 also follows that

$$\mathrm{EqRes}_xF(x)e^{\rho(x)}=\sum_{V pole}\mathrm{JKRes}_v\mathcal{F}(v,s)e^{\rho(v,s)}dv=\sum_{V pole}\mathrm{JKRes}_v\mathcal{G}(v,s)e^{\rho(v,s)}dv$$

depends continuously on ρ in a small neighborhood of 0. ☐

We have the following analogue of Proposition 1.

Proposition 6. *Let x be an ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ and let $z=\{z_1,\dots,z_q\}$ be an orthornormal bases of $\mathfrak{k}^*$. Consider $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_q\in\mathfrak{t}^*$ and let $\lambda=\lambda_0(s)+\lambda_1\widetilde{\alpha}_1+\ldots+\lambda_q\widetilde{\alpha}_q$. Then*

$$\mathrm{EqRes}_x\frac{e^{\lambda(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^q\alpha_i(x)^{n_i+1}}=\frac{e^{\lambda_0(s)}}{\left|\det\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_i(z,s)}{\partial z_j}\right)\right|}\prod_{i=1}^q\frac{\varepsilon(\alpha_i)^{n_i+1}\lambda_i^{n_i}}{n_i!},$$

if $\det\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_i(z,s)}{\partial z_j}\right)\neq 0$ *and $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_q>0$, otherwise it yields zero.*

Proof. Let $V=\langle\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_q\rangle$. V is a pole if and only if $\det\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_i(z,s)}{\partial z_j}\right)\neq 0$. Suppose that this is the case, thus we have

$$\mathrm{EqRes}_x\frac{e^{\lambda(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^q\alpha_i(x)^{n_i+1}}=\mathrm{JKRes}_v\frac{e^{\lambda(v,s)}}{\prod_{i=1}^q\alpha_i(v)^{n_i+1}}dv=\mathrm{JKRes}_v\frac{e^{\lambda_0(s)+\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i\widetilde{\alpha}_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^q\alpha_i(v)^{n_i+1}}dv, \tag{27}$$

where v is the induced bases on V by x. Denote z_i' the projection of z_i to V along $\mathfrak{s}^*$. Remark that z' is an orthonormal bases of V and $\det\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_i(z,s)}{\partial z_j}\right)=\det\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_i(z',s)}{\partial z_j'}\right)$. By Proposition 1, (27) is equal to $\left|\det\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_i(z',s)}{\partial z_j'}\right)\right|\prod_{i=1}^q\frac{\varepsilon(\alpha_i)^{n_i+1}\lambda_i^{n_i+1}}{n_i!}$, if $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_q>0$, otherwise (27) is zero. ☐

We also have an analogue of Corollary 1.

Corollary 2. *Let x be an ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ and let 0 be generic with respect to $F=\frac{Pe^\lambda}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$, where $\lambda\neq 0$. If $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\lambda)\notin Cone(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\widetilde{\alpha}_i)\,|\,i\in I)$ then $\mathrm{EqRes}_xF(x)=0$.*

Proof. With notations of Proposition 6 we have $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\lambda)=\lambda_1\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\widetilde{\alpha}_1)+\ldots+\lambda_q\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\widetilde{\alpha}_q)$. Then the statement follows from decomposition to $\mathfrak{k}^*$-generating and non-generating fractions, and from Proposition 6. ☐

Corollary 3. *Let $x=\{x_1,\dots,x_r\}$ be an ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*=\mathfrak{k}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*$ such that $x_1,\dots,x_q\in\mathfrak{k}^*$ and $x_{q+1},\dots,x_r\in\mathfrak{s}^*$. Let 0 be generic with respect to $F=\frac{Pe^\lambda}{\prod_{i\in I}\alpha_i}$, where $\lambda\notin\mathfrak{s}^*$. If λ is not polarized with respect to x, i.e. $\widetilde{\lambda}=-\lambda$ then $\mathrm{EqRes}_xF(x)=0$.*

Proof. Remark that for any $\beta \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we have $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\widetilde{\beta}) = \widetilde{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\beta)}$. Hence $Cone(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\widetilde{\alpha}_i) \,|\, i \in I) = Cone(\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\alpha_i)} \,|\, i \in I)$ is contained in the polarized cone of $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Since $\lambda \notin \mathfrak{s}^*$ and it is not polarized, hence $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\lambda) = -\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\widetilde{\lambda}) = -\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(\lambda)} \neq 0$ is not contained in the polarized cone of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ and from Corollary 2 follows that $\mathrm{EqRes}_x F(x) = 0$. ☐

3. Symplectic cut

In this section we review in details the symplectic cut technique ([Ler], [LMTW], [JKo]). We compute new fixed point data arising on the symplectic cut space: fixed point sets, Euler classes, orbifold multiplicities. Our setup is only a slightly different from the one in [JKo]. The results of the section are summarized in the Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne formula on the symplectic cut space (Theorem 9) and they will be used in the subsequent section.

Consider $\mathbb{C}^q$ with the standard symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{C}^q} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum_{i=1}^q dz_i d\bar{z}_i$ and let $K = U(1)^q$ q-dimensional torus which act on $\mathbb{C}^q$ by weights $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q \in \mathbb{Z}^q \simeq \mathfrak{k}^*_{\mathbb{Z}}$, i.e. $t \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_q) = (t^{\gamma_1} z_1, \dots, t^{\gamma_q} z_q)$. It is a Hamiltonian action with moment map $\psi : \mathbb{C}^q \to \mathfrak{k}^*$, $\psi(z) = \sum_{i=1}^q \gamma_i \frac{|z_i|^2}{2}$. Suppose that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q$ are linearly independent.

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold with Hamiltonian action of the n-dimensional torus T with moment map $\mu_T : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$. Let $K \subset T$ be a q-dimensional subtorus and denote its moment map by μ_K. The product space $M \times \mathbb{C}^q$ is symplectic with symplectic form $\omega + \omega_{\mathbb{C}^q}$ and it admits Hamiltonian $T \times K$-action $(t, k) \cdot (m, z) := (tm, k^{-1}z)$ with moment map $(\mu_T, -\psi) : M \times \mathbb{C}^q \to \mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{k}^*$. We consider the embedding $i_{diag} : K \to T \times K$, $k \mapsto (k, k^{-1})$ and we denote its image by K_{diag}, its Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{k}_{diag}$. The K_{diag}-moment map is equal to $\Psi : M \times \mathbb{C}^q \to \mathfrak{k}^*_{diag}$, $\Psi = \mu_K - \psi$. Introduce notations $\Gamma = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q a_i \gamma_i \in \mathfrak{k}^* \,|\, a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \right\}$.

Lemma. *Suppose that* $0 \in \mathfrak{k}^*$ *is a regular value of* μ_K*. Then* $0 \in \mathfrak{k}^*_{diag}$ *is a regular value of* Ψ *if* Γ *intersects the moment polytope* $\mu_K(M)$ *transversally, i.e. any face of* Γ *intersects any wall of* $\mu_K(M)$ *transversally (*[JKo]*).*

Remark that if any face of Γ intersects any wall of $\mu_K(M)$ transversally then any face of $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}^{-1}(\Gamma)$ intersects any wall of $\mu_T(M)$ transversally, where $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*} : \mathfrak{t}^* \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ canonical projection. From now on we suppose that the conditions of the lemma are fulfilled. Let $M_0 = \mu_K^{-1}(0)/K$ be the symplectic quotient and denote the image of $m \in \mu_K^{-1}(0)$ under the quotient map by $[m]$.

Definition. The symplectic cut of M with respect to the simplicial cone Γ is defined as

$$M_\Gamma = \Psi^{-1}(0)/K_{diag}.$$

We also denote the image of $(m, z) \in \Psi^{-1}(0)$ under the quotient map $\Psi^{-1}(0) \to M_\Gamma$ by $[m, z]$.

Remark. In general, M_Γ is an orbifold even if K acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)$, i.e M_0 is a manifold.

The T-action on $M \times \mathbb{C}^q$ descends to M_Γ. It is Hamiltonian with moment map $M_\Gamma \to \mathfrak{t}^*$, $[m, z] \mapsto \mu_T(m)$ which we will also denote by μ_T (and μ_K for $K \subset T$). The image of moment maps equal to $\mu_K(M_\Gamma) = \mu_K(M) \cap \Gamma$ and $\mu_T(M_\Gamma) = \mu_T(M) \cap \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}^{-1}(\Gamma)$.

3.1. T-fixed components on M_Γ.

Proposition 7. *Let* $(m,z) \in \Psi^{-1}(0)$*,* $m \in M$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}^q$*. Denote* T_m° *and* K_z° *the unit components of isotropy groups of* m *and* z*, respectively. Let* $F_m \subset M^{T_m^\circ}$ *and* $F_z \subset (\mathbb{C}^q)^{K_z^\circ}$ *the connected components of fixed point sets containing* m *and* z*, respectively. Then* $[m,z] \in M_\Gamma^T$ *if and only if* $\mathfrak{t}_m \oplus \mathfrak{k}_z = \mathfrak{t}$*, where* $\mathfrak{t}_m$ *and* $\mathfrak{k}_z$ *are the Lie algebras of the corresponding isotropy groups. Moreover, the connected component of* M_Γ^T *containing* $[m,z]$ *is equal to*

$$F_{[m,z]} = (F_m \times F_z)/\!\!/K_{diag} = (F_m \times F_z) \cap \Psi^{-1}(0)/K_{diag}.$$

Proof. A point $[m,z] \in M_\Gamma$ is a T-fixed point if and only if for any $t \in T$ there is $k \in K$ such that $(tk \cdot m, k^{-1} \cdot z) = (m,z)$. That's it, if $T_m \subset T$ is the isotropy group of $m \in M$ and $K_z \subset K$ is the isotropy group of $z \in \mathbb{C}^q$ then $[m,z] \in M_\Gamma$ is a fixed point if and only if for all $t \in T$ there is $k \in K_z$ such that $s := tk \in T_m$ or equivalently $T_m \times K_z \to T$, $(s,k) \mapsto sk^{-1}$ is surjective or equivalently $\mathfrak{t}_m + \mathfrak{k}_z = \mathfrak{t}$. Since K_{diag} acts locally freely on $\Psi^{-1}(0)$, thus $\mathfrak{t}_m \cap \mathfrak{k}_z = \{0\}$.

Denote $\pi : \Psi^{-1}(0) \to M_\Gamma$ the quotient map. To check the inclusion $(F_m \times F_z) \cap \Psi^{-1}(0) \subset \pi^{-1}(F_{[m,z]})$, let $(m_1, z_1) \in (F_m \times F_z) \cap \Psi^{-1}(0)$. Since the homomorphism $T_m^\circ \times K_z^\circ \to T$, $(s,k) \mapsto sk^{-1}$ is surjective, we can decompose any $t \in T$ as $t = sk^{-1}$ with $s \in T_m^\circ$ and $k \in K_z^\circ$ and we have $t\cdot[m_1,z_1] = [sk^{-1}\cdot m_1, z_1] = [s\cdot m_1, k^{-1}\cdot z_1] = [m_1,z_1]$. Therefore, $(F_m \times F_z)/\!\!/K_{diag} \subset M_\Gamma^T$ and moreover by [A] it is connected, containing $[m,z]$, hence $(F_m \times F_z)/\!\!/K_{diag} \subset F_{[m,z]}$.

To show the reverse inclusion, let $(m_2,z_2) \in \pi^{-1}(F_{[m,z]})$ be in a small neighborhood of (m_1,z_1) in $(F_m \times F_z) \cap \Psi^{-1}(0)$. The inclusion $[m_2,z_2] \in M_\Gamma^T$ implies that $\mathfrak{t}_{m_2} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{z_2} = \mathfrak{t}$. Similarly, $(m_1,z_1) \in F_m \times F_z$ implies that $T_m^\circ \subset T_{m_1}$ and $K_z^\circ \subset K_{z_1}$, thus $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_m \oplus \mathfrak{k}_z \subset \mathfrak{t}_{m_1} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{z_1} = \mathfrak{t}$, therefore $\mathfrak{t}_m = \mathfrak{t}_{m_1}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_z = \mathfrak{k}_{z_1}$. The isotropy groups locally decrease, thus we have $T_{m_2} \subset T_{m_1}$ and $K_{z_2} \subset K_{z_1}$. For Lie algebras $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_{m_2} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{z_2} \subset \mathfrak{t}_{m_1} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{z_1} = \mathfrak{t}$, hence $\mathfrak{t}_{m_2} = \mathfrak{t}_{m_1} = \mathfrak{t}_m$ and $\mathfrak{k}_{z_2} = \mathfrak{k}_{z_1} = \mathfrak{k}_z$ which yields $T_m^\circ \subset T_{m_2}$ and $K_z^\circ \subset K_{z_2}$, hence $(m_2,z_2) \in (F_m \times F_z) \cap \Psi^{-1}(0)$. This shows that $(F_m \times F_z) \cap \Psi^{-1}(0)$ is open in $\pi^{-1}(F_{[m,z]})$, but it is also closed being a $T_m^\circ \times K_z^\circ$ fixed point component of $\Psi^{-1}(0)$. From the connectedness of $\pi^{-1}(F_{[m,z]})$ we have that $(F_m \times F_z) \cap \Psi^{-1}(0) = \pi^{-1}(F_{[m,z]})$, i.e. $(F_m \times F_z)/\!\!/K_{diag} = F_{[m,z]}$. ☐

We introduce notations $M_{int} = \{m \in M \mid \mu_K(m) \in \operatorname{int}\Gamma\}$, $M_{\Gamma,int} = \{[m,z] \in M_\Gamma \mid \mu_K([m,z]) \in \operatorname{int}\Gamma\}$, $M_{\Gamma,0} = \{[m,z] \in M_\Gamma \mid z = 0\}$. We sort the fixed point components in three groups:

(0) fixed point components $H_0 \subset M_{\Gamma,0}^T$. They are characterized by $\mu_K(H_0) = 0$ and they can be identified to T-fixed point components F_0 in M_0 via the T-equivariant diffeomorphism $\Xi_0 : M_0 \to M_{\Gamma,0}$, $[m] \mapsto [m,0]$.

(1) fixed point components $H_1 \subset M_{\Gamma,int}^T$, characterized by $\mu_K(H_1) \in \operatorname{int}\Gamma$ and they corresponds to fixed point components of M_{int} as follows. Let $K' \subset K$ be the maximal subgroup of K acting trivially on $\mathbb{C}^q$. For any $m \in M_{int}$ we can write $\mu_K(m) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^q \mu_K(m)_i \gamma_i$ with $\mu_K(m)_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, q$. The map $M_{int} \to M_{\Gamma,int}$, $m \mapsto \big[m, \big(\sqrt{\mu_K(m)_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\mu_K(m)_q}\,\big)\big]$ induces T-equivariant isomorphism $\Xi_{int} : M_{int}/K' \to M_{\Gamma,int}$ of orbifolds. Under this map the fixed point components H_1 corresponds to suborbifolds F_1/K of M_{int}/K' where F_1 is a T-fixed point component of M_{int} (remark that K' acts trivially on F_1).

(2) Other fixed point components $H \subset M_{\Gamma}^{T}$ characterized by $\mu_K(H)$ being non-zero and it lies on the boundary of Γ. If K is 1-dimensional then this type of components do not occur.

3.2. **Choice of cohomology classes and their restrictions.** The projection $M \times \mathbb{C}^q \to M$ induces an isomorphism $H_T(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_T(M \times \mathbb{C}^q)$ and the homomorphism $T \times K_{diag} \to T$, $(t,k) \mapsto tk$ induces a homomorphism $H_T(M \times \mathbb{C}^q) \to H_{T\times K_{diag}}(M \times \mathbb{C}^q)$. Their composition we denote by $\delta : H_T(M) \to H_{T\times K_{diag}}(M \times \mathbb{C}^q)$.

Definition. Define the cut homomorphism $\Delta : H_T(M) \to H_T(M_\Gamma)$, $\Delta = \kappa_T \circ \delta$, where $\kappa_T : H_{T\times K_{diag}}(M \times \mathbb{C}^q) \to H_T(M_\Gamma)$ is the T-equivariant Kirwan map. More explicitly, if α is an equivariantly closed form on M then $\Delta(\alpha)(u) = \mathrm{Hor}_\theta(j^*\alpha(u + \Theta(u)))$, (cf. [BT]) where $u \in \mathfrak{t}$, θ is a T-invariant connection form on the principal K_{diag}-bundle $\Psi^{-1}(0) \to M_\Gamma$ with equivariant curvarture form Θ and $j : \Psi^{-1}(0) \to M \times \mathbb{C}^q$ is the inclusion.

Proposition.

(1) *We have* $\Xi_0^*(\Delta(\alpha)|_{M_{\Gamma,0}}) = \kappa_{T/K}(\alpha)$ *where* $\kappa_{T/K} : H_T(M) \to H_{T/K}(M_0)$ *is the equivariant Kirwan map. In particular, if* $F_0 \subset M_0^T$ *and* $H_0 \subset M_{\Gamma,0}^T$ *are fixed point components such that* $\Xi_0(F_0) = H_0$ *then* $\Xi_0^*(\Delta(\alpha)|_{H_0}) = \kappa_{T/K}(\alpha)|_{F_0}$.

(2) *As equivariant differential forms* $\Xi_0^*(\Delta(\omega - \mu_T)|_{M_{\Gamma,0}})$ *is the reduced equivariant differential form on* M_0.

(3) *Let* $F_1 \subset M_{int}^T$ *and* $H_1 \subset M_{\Gamma,int}^T$ *be fixed components such that* $\Xi_{int}(F_1) = H_1$. *Then* $\Xi_{int}^*(\Delta(\alpha)|_{H_1}) = \alpha|_{F_1}$. *In particular,* $\Xi_{int}^*(\Delta(\omega - \mu_T)|_{H_1}) = (\omega - \mu_T)|_{F_1}$.

Proof. (1) We have the following isomomorphism of principal K-bundles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mu_K^{-1}(0) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d] & \mu_K^{-1}(0) \times \{0\} \arrow[d] \\
M_0 \arrow[r, "\sim", "\Xi_0"'] & M_{\Gamma,0}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $j : \mu_K^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$ and $j_0 : \mu_K^{-1} \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{C}^q$ inclusions. Let θ be a connection form on principal K-bundles $\mu_K^{-1}(0) \times \{0\} \to M_{\Gamma,0}$ and $\Xi_0^*\,\theta$ its pull-back to $\mu_K^{-1}(0) \to M_0$. From the definitions follows that

$$\Xi_0^*(\Delta(\alpha)|_{M_{\Gamma,0}}) = \Xi_0^*\mathrm{Hor}_\theta(j_0^*\alpha(u + \Theta(u))) = \mathrm{Hor}_{\Xi_0^*\theta}(j_0^*\alpha(u + \Xi_0^*\Theta(u))) = \kappa_{T/K}(\alpha).$$

(2) In particular, for $\alpha = \omega - \mu_T$ equivariant symplectic form we have equality of equivariant forms $\Xi_0^*(\Delta(\omega - \mu_T)|_{M_\Gamma}) = \kappa_{T/K}(\omega - \mu_T)$.

(3) We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_1 \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d] & \Psi^{-1}(0) \cap (F_1 \times \mathbb{C}^q) \arrow[d] \\
F_1/K' \arrow[r, "\sim", "\Xi_{int}"'] & H_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\sigma(m) = \big(m, (\sqrt{\mu_K(m)_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\mu_K(m)_q}\,)\big)$ is a global section of the principal K_{diag}-bundle $\Psi^{-1}(0) \cap (F_1 \times \mathbb{C}^q) \to F_1$ and yields isomorphism $F_1 \times K_{diag}/K' \simeq \Psi^{-1}(0) \cap (F_1 \times \mathbb{C}^q)$. Let j_1 be the composition of inclusion $\Psi^{-1}(0) \cap (F_1 \times \mathbb{C}^q) \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{C}^q$ and projection $M \times \mathbb{C}^q \to M$. Let θ be the pull-back of the Maurer-Cartan form to the principal K_{diag}-bundle $\Psi^{-1}(0) \cap (F_1 \times \mathbb{C}^q) \simeq F_1 \times K_{diag}/K'$ and Θ be its equivariant curvature form. Then the restriction of the class $\Delta(\alpha)$ to H_1 is equal to

$$\Delta(\alpha)(u)\big|_{H_1} = \mathrm{Hor}_\theta(j_1^*\alpha(u + \Theta(u)))$$

Moreover, if $\{\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n\}$ is a bases of $\mathfrak{t}$ then $\Theta(u) = -\sum_{i=1}^q u_i \iota_{\underline{\xi}^i}\theta + \Theta = 0$ and $\sigma^*\theta = 0$. Therefore $\sigma^*(\Delta(\alpha)|_{H_1}) = \alpha|_{F_1}$ implying the assertion (3). Remark this is an equality of equivariant differential forms. In particular, for $\alpha = \omega - \mu_T$ equivariant symplectic form on M we have the equality $\Xi_{int}^*(\Delta(\omega - \mu_T)|_{H_1}) = (\omega - \mu_T)|_{F_1}$.

□

3.3. T-equivariant Euler classes of normal bundles of fixed point components.

Lemma 4 (cf. [JKo] Proposition 2.2)**.** *Let R be a K-invariant symplectic submanifold of a Hamiltonian K-manifold M with moment map $\mu : M \to \mathfrak{k}^*$. Suppose that* 0 *is a regular value of μ and denote $M_0 = \mu^{-1}(0)/K$. If $R \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ then the symplectic quotient $R_0 = (R \cap \mu^{-1}(0))/K$ exists and we have isomorphism of normal bundles*

$$\mathcal{N}(R_0|M_0) \simeq \mathcal{N}(R|M)/\!\!/K.$$

If there is a second T-action on M which commutes with K and R is T-invariant, then the isomorphism of normal bundle is T-equivariant.

Proof. 0 is a regular value of μ is equivalent to the locally free action of K on $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Hence K acts locally freely on the set $R \cap \mu^{-1}(0) = (\mu|_R)^{-1}(0)$. Therefore, 0 is a regular value of $\mu|_R$ and the symplectic quotient $R_0 = (\mu|_R)^{-1}(0)/K = (R \cap \mu^{-1}(0))/K$ exists.

Let $\pi : \mu^{-1}(0) \to M_0$ the quotient map. We have the following commutative diagram of vector bundles over $\pi^{-1}R_0$ with short exact sequences in rows and in the first two columns

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \pi^{-1}(R_0) \times \mathfrak{k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\pi^{-1}(M_0) \times \mathfrak{k})|_{\pi^{-1}(R_0)} \arrow[rr] \arrow[d] & & 0 \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & TR|_{\pi^{-1}(R_0)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & TM|_{\pi^{-1}(R_0)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{N}(R|M)|_{\pi^{-1}(R_0)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \pi^{-1}(TR_0) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi^{-1}(TM_0|_{R_0}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi^{-1}\mathcal{N}(R_0|M_0) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The 9-lemma implies the exactness of the last column and the lemma follows. In the case of the second action the diagram is T-equivariantly commutative, hence the isomorphism of bundles will be also T-equivariant. $\square$

We have a finite cover $T_m \times K_z \to T$, $(s,k) \mapsto sk$, since $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_m \oplus \mathfrak{k}_z$. Consequently, for computation of T-equivariant Euler classes we may suppose that $T = T_m \times K_z$. From Proposition 7 and Lemma 4 follows that

$$\mathcal{N}(F_{[m,z]}|M_\Gamma) \simeq \mathcal{N}(F_m \times F_z|M \times \mathbb{C}^q) /\!\!/_{K_{diag}} \simeq \big(\mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{N}(F_m|M) \oplus \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{N}(F_z|\mathbb{C}^q)\big) /\!\!/ K_{diag}. \tag{28}$$

Choosing a T-invariant compatible triplet $(\omega, g, \mathcal{I})$ on M the normal bundles $\mathcal{N}(F_m|M)$ and $\mathcal{N}(F_m \times F_z|M \times \mathbb{C}^q)$ become complex vector bundles.

The normal bundle $\mathcal{N}(F_m|M)$ splits T_m-equivariantly to sum of complex line bundles $L'_i \to F_m$, i.e. $\mathcal{N}(F_m|M) = \bigoplus_{i\in I_m} L'_i$. This splitting is $T = T_m \times K_z$-equivariant since the actions of T_m and K_z commute. Denote L_i the pull-back of L'_i along the projection $\mathrm{pr}_1 : F_m \times F_z \to F_m$ which is $\phi_1 : T \times K_{diag} \to T$, $(t,k) \mapsto tk$ intertwining. Therefore, $L_i \to F_m \times F_z$ is a complex $T \times K_{diag}$-line bundle and we have $T \times K_{diag}$-equivariant isomorphism of vector bundles

$$\mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{N}(F_m|M) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_m} L_i. \tag{29}$$

For a fixed $z = (z_1, \dots, z_q) \in \mathbb{C}^q$ we set $J_z = \{j \,|\, z_j = 0\}$. We have K-equivariant splitting $\mathcal{N}(F_z|\mathbb{C}^q) = \bigoplus_{j \in J_z} F_z \times \mathbb{C}_{\gamma_j}$, where K acts on $\mathbb{C}_{\gamma_j}$ with weight γ_j. The pull-back of the line bundle $F_z \times \mathbb{C}_{\gamma_j} \to F_z$ along the $\phi_2 : T \times K_{diag} \to K$, $(t,k) \mapsto k^{-1}$ intertwining projection $\mathrm{pr}_2 : F_m \times F_z \to F_z$ is equal to the $T \times K_{diag}$-line bundle $\mathcal{L}_j := (F_m \times F_z) \times \mathbb{C}_{\gamma_j} \to F_m \times F_z$ and we have $T \times K_{diag}$-isomorphism of vector bundles

$$\mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{N}(F_z|\mathbb{C}^q) = \bigoplus_{j \in J_z} \mathcal{L}_j. \tag{30}$$

The direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{t}_m \oplus \mathfrak{k}_z = \mathfrak{t}$ induces isomorphism $\mathfrak{t}^* \simeq \mathfrak{t}_m^* \times \mathfrak{k}_z^*$. Moreover, with identifications $\mathfrak{t}_m^* = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* \,|\, \lambda(\mathfrak{k}_z) = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ and $\mathfrak{k}_z^* = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* \,|\, \lambda(\mathfrak{t}_m) = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ we can write $\mathfrak{t}^* = \mathfrak{t}_m^* \oplus \mathfrak{k}_z^*$. Denote $\varrho_m : \mathfrak{t}_m^* \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ and $\varrho_z : \mathfrak{k}_z^* \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ inclusions. Similarly, from direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{k} = (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{t}_m) \oplus \mathfrak{k}_z$ we get $\mathfrak{k}^* = (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{t}_m)^* \oplus \mathfrak{k}_z^*$ with identifications $(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{t}_m)^* = \{\beta \in \mathfrak{k}^* \mid \beta(\mathfrak{k}_z) = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$ and $\mathfrak{k}_z^* = \{\beta \in \mathfrak{k}^* \mid \beta(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{t}_m) = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$. We consider $\rho_m : \mathfrak{t}_m^* \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ and the inclusion $\rho_z : \mathfrak{k}_z^* \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ satisfying commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{t}_m^* \arrow[r, "\varrho_m"] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\rho_m"] & \mathfrak{t}^* \arrow[d] \\
(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{t}_m)^* \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{k}^*
\end{tikzcd}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{k}_z^* \arrow[r, "\varrho_z"] \arrow[dr, "\rho_z"] & \mathfrak{t}^* \arrow[d] \\
 & \mathfrak{k}^*
\end{tikzcd} .$$

The $T_m \times K_z$-equivariant Euler class of L_i has of form $e_{T_m \times K_z}(L_i) = \alpha_i + e_{K_z}(L_i)$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{t}_m$ and therefore the $T \times K_{diag}$-equivariant Euler class is equal to

$$e_{T \times K_{diag}}(L_i) = \phi_1^*(e_T(L_i)) = \varrho_m(\alpha_i) + \rho_m(\alpha_i) + (\varrho_z \times \rho_z)(e_{K_z}(L_i)).$$

More explicitly, for any $u \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{k}$ we have

$$e_{T\times K_{diag}}(L_i)(u,v) = \alpha_i\big(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}_m}(u+v)\big) + e_{K_z}(L_i)\big(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_z}(u+v)\big),$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}_m} : \mathfrak{t} \to \mathfrak{t}_m$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_z} : \mathfrak{t} \to \mathfrak{k}_z$ are projection along $\mathfrak{k}_z$ and $\mathfrak{t}_m$, respectively. Moreover, we have

$$e_{T\times K_{diag}}(\mathcal{L}_j) = \phi_2^* e_K(\mathbb{C}_{\gamma_j}) = -\gamma_j.$$

Let $\kappa : H_{K_{diag}}(F_m \times F_z) \to H(F_{[m,z]})$ and $\kappa_T : H_{T\times K_{diag}}(F_m \times F_z) \to H_T(F_{[m,z]})$ be the usual and T-equivariant Kirwan maps, respectively. Let θ be a T-invariant connection form on the principal K_{diag}-bundle $(F_m \times F_z) \cap \Psi^{-1}(0) \to F_{[m,z]}$. Consider the map $\mathfrak{t} \to \mathfrak{k}$ given by $u \mapsto \iota_{\underline{u}}\theta$.

Remark.
(i) If $u \in \mathfrak{t}_m$ then the fundamental vector field on $F_m \times F_z$ equals $\underline{u} = 0$.
(ii) If $u \in \mathfrak{k}_z$ then $\iota_{\underline{u}}\theta = u$ because $K_z \subset T$ acts on $F_m \times F_z$ as $K_z \subset K_{diag}$.
(iii) For all $u \in \mathfrak{t}$ we have $\iota_{\underline{u}}\theta = \mathrm{pr}_{k_z} u$.

We compute $\kappa(e_{K_{diag}}(L_i)) = \mathrm{Hor}_\theta[\alpha_i(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}_m} d\theta) + e_{K_z}(L_i)(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_z} d\theta)]$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_T(e_{T\times K_{diag}}(L_i))(u) &= \mathrm{Hor}_\theta\big[\alpha_i\big(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}_m}(u - \iota_{\underline{u}}\theta + d\theta)\big) + e_{K_z}(L_i)\big(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_z}(u - \iota_{\underline{u}}\theta + d\theta)\big)\big] \\
&= \mathrm{Hor}_\theta\big[\alpha_i\big(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}_m}(u - \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_z} u + d\theta)\big) + e_{K_z}(L_i)\big(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_z}(u - \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_z} u + d\theta)\big)\big] \\
&= \alpha_i(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}_m} u) + \mathrm{Hor}_\theta\big[\alpha_i(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}_m} d\theta) + e_{K_z}(L_i)(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_z} d\theta)\big] \\
&= \alpha_i(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}_m} u) + \kappa\big(e_{K_{diag}}(L_i)\big),
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\kappa_T(e_{T\times K_{diag}}(L_i)) = \varrho_m(\alpha_i) + \kappa(e_{K_{diag}}(L_i)). \tag{31}$$

Similarly,

$$\kappa_T(e_{T\times K_{diag}}(\mathcal{L}_j))(u) = \mathrm{Hor}_\theta\big[-\gamma_j(-\iota_{\underline{u}}\theta + d\theta)\big] = \gamma_j(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_z} u) - \mathrm{Hor}_\theta\big[\gamma_j(d\theta)\big] = \gamma_j(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_z} u) - \kappa(\gamma_j),$$

thus

$$\kappa_T(e_{T\times K_{diag}}(\mathcal{L}_j)) = \varrho_z(\gamma_j) - \kappa(\gamma_j). \tag{32}$$

From equations (28-32) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_T(\mathcal{N}(F_{[m,z]}|M_\Gamma)) &= \prod_{i\in I_m} \kappa_T(e_{T\times K_{diag}}(L_i)) \prod_{j\in J_z} (e_{T\times K_{diag}}(\mathcal{L}_j)) \\
&= \prod_{i\in I_m} \big[\varrho_m(\alpha_i) + \kappa(e_{K_{diag}}(L_i))\big] \prod_{j\in J_z} \big[\varrho_z(\gamma_j) - \kappa(\gamma_j)\big].
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Remark.
(1) We have $\mathfrak{k}_z = \cap_{j\notin J_z} \ker \gamma_j$ and $F_z = \{w \in \mathbb{C}^q \,|\, w_j = 0, \forall j \in J_z\}$. Moreover, $\Gamma_z := Cone(\gamma_j \,|\, j \notin J_z) = \psi(F_z)$, hence $\mu_T(F_{[m,z]}) = \mu_T(F_m) \cap \chi^{-1}(\Gamma_z)$.
(2) The set $\{\varrho_z(\gamma_j) \,|\, j \in J_z\}$ is a bases of $\mathfrak{k}_z^*$ and $\mu_T(F_m) \subset \mu_T(m) + \mathfrak{k}_z^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$.
(3) The underlying vector space of $\chi^{-1}(\Gamma_z)$ is equal to $\mathfrak{t}_m^*$.

Remark. In case of $T = K \times S$ denote $\sigma : \mathfrak{t}^* \to \mathfrak{s}^*$ the restriction and introduce maps $\sigma_m : \mathfrak{t}_m^* \to \mathfrak{s}^*$, $\sigma_m = \sigma \circ \varrho_m$ and $\sigma_z : \mathfrak{k}_z^* \to \mathfrak{s}^*$, $\sigma_z = \sigma \circ \varrho_z$. Then $\varrho_m = \rho_m + \sigma_m$ and $\varrho_z = \rho_z + \sigma_z$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{K\times S}\mathcal{N}(F_{[m,z]}|M_\Gamma) &= \prod_{i\in I_m}\big[\rho_m(\alpha_i) + \sigma_m(\alpha_i) + \kappa(e_{K_{diag}}(L_i))\big]\prod_{j\in J_z}\big[\rho_z(\gamma_j) + \sigma_z(\gamma_j) - \kappa(\gamma_j)\big] \\ &= \prod_{i\in I_m}\big[\rho_m(\alpha_i) + \kappa_S(e_{K_{diag}}(L_i))\big]\prod_{j\in J_z}\big[\rho_z(\gamma_j) - \kappa_S(\gamma_j)\big]. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Moreover, the splitting $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ induces isomorphism $\mathfrak{t}^* \simeq \mathfrak{k}^* \times \mathfrak{s}^*$ and we have identification $\mathfrak{s}^* = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \lambda(\mathfrak{k}) = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$. For $z = 0$ we have $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{k}$, thus $\mathfrak{t}_m^* = \mathfrak{s}^*$, hence $\rho_m = 0$, $\rho_z = 1_{\mathfrak{k}^*}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} e_{K\times S}(\mathcal{N}(F_{[m,0]}|M_\Gamma)) &= \prod_{i\in I_m}\big[\sigma_m(\alpha_i) + \kappa(e_{K_{diag}}(L_i))\big]\prod_{j=1}^{q}\big[\gamma_j - \kappa_S(\gamma_j)\big] \\ &= e_S\big(F_{[m,0]}|M_0\big)\prod_{j=1}^{q}\big[\gamma_j - \kappa_S(\gamma_j)\big]. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

3.4. **Orbifold multiplicities.**

As remarked before M_Γ is an orbifold in general. Next we will compute the orbifold multiplicities of its fixed point components as suborbifolds.

Proposition 8. *If M is compact and K acts effectively on it then* $\operatorname{mult}(M_\Gamma) = 1$ *and* $\operatorname{mult}(M_{\Gamma,0}) = 1$. *Moreover, let $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_q$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-bases of $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$. Set $\delta_\gamma = |\det([\gamma_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^q)|$, where $\gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^q \gamma_{ij}\tau_j$. Then* $\operatorname{mult}(F_1') = |K'| = \delta_\gamma$.

Proof. Denote $M_H = \{m \in M \mid K_m = H\}$ and $M_{(H)} = \{m \in H \mid K_m \text{ is conjugate to } H \text{ in } K\}$. $M_{(H)}$ is a submanifold for all $H \subset K$ and $M_H = M_{(H)}$ since K is abelian. The compactness of M implies that only finite number of subgroups of K may appear as isotropy subgroups, hence we have a finite stratification $M = \biguplus_H M_{(H)}$. The inclusion defines a partial order on the set of isotropy groups. Moreover, it has a unique minimal element H_{min}. The corresponding stratum $M_{(H_{min})}$ is open and dense submanifold of M, called the principal stratum. Remark that H_{min} being the unique minimal isotropy group, it acts trivially on M. (cf. [GKG]) Therefore, if the action of K is effective then H_{min} is the trivial subgroup and K acts freely on the principal stratum. In particular K acts freely on $M_{int} \cap M_{(H_{min})} \neq \emptyset$ implying that $M_{\Gamma,int} \simeq M_{int}/K'$ is an effective orbifold. $M_{\Gamma,int} \subset M_\Gamma$ is open thus M_Γ is also effective and therefore $\operatorname{mult}(M_\Gamma) = 1$.

There is an open neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathfrak{k}^*$ such that $\mu^{-1}(U)$ is K-equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mu^{-1}(0) \times U$. Since $\mu^{-1}(U)$ is open and $M_{(H_{min})}$ is dense we have that $\mu^{-1}(U) \cap M_{(H_{min})} \neq \emptyset$ implying that $\mu^{-1}(0) \cap M_{(H_{min})} \neq \emptyset$ and consequently M_0 is also an effective orbifold. We emphasis that this does not mean that the orbifold multiplicities of T-fixed point components H_0 in $M_{\Gamma,0}$ are one.

The fixed point components H_1 of $M_{\Gamma,int}$ as orbifolds are isomorphic to $F_1/K' \subset M_{int}/K'$ for some fixed point component F_1 of M_{int}, thus $\operatorname{mult}(H_1) = \operatorname{mult}(F_1/K') = |K'|$. The action of $K \simeq \mathbb{R}^q/\mathbb{Z}^q$ on $\mathbb{C}^q$ gives a homomorphism $\mathbb{R}^q/\mathbb{Z}^q \to \mathbb{R}^q/\mathbb{Z}^q$, $(u_1, \dots, u_q) \mapsto u_1\gamma_1 + \dots + u_q\gamma_q$, which has degree $|\det([\gamma_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^q)| = K'$. □

3.5. **Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne theorem on M_Σ.** The orbifold version of the Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne localization is as follows [Me].

Theorem. *Let X be an orbifold with a T-action. For $\beta \in H_T(X)$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}(X)} \int_X \beta = \sum_{F \subset X^T} \frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}(F)} \int_F \frac{i_F^* \beta}{e_T \mathcal{N}(F|X)},$$

where $\operatorname{mult}(X)$ *and* $\operatorname{mult}(F)$ *are the orbifold multiplicities of X and F, and $\mathcal{N}(F|X)$ is the equivariant normal orbibundle of F in X.*

We apply the above theorem for M_Γ. It will summarize the results of this section.

Theorem 9. *Suppose that $T = K \times S$ and M_Σ compact. For any $\beta \in H_T(M)$ we have*

$$\int_{M_\Gamma} \Delta(\beta e^{\omega - \mu_T}) = \oint_{M_0} \frac{\kappa_S(\beta e^{\omega - \mu_T})}{\prod_{j=1}^q [\gamma_j - \kappa_S(\gamma_j)]} + \sum_{\substack{F \subset M^T \\ \mu_K(F) \in \Gamma}} \frac{1}{\delta_\gamma} \int_F \frac{i_F^*(\beta e^{\omega - \mu_T})}{e_T \mathcal{N}(F|M)}$$
$$+ \sum_{\substack{H \subset M_\Gamma^T \\ \mu_K(H) \in \partial\Gamma \setminus \{0\}}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}(H)} \int_H \frac{i_H^* \Delta(\beta e^{\omega - \mu_T})}{e_T \mathcal{N}(H|M_\Gamma)},$$

where the number δ_γ is defined in Proposition 8 and we used notation

$$\oint_{M_0} \frac{\kappa_S(\beta e^{\omega - \mu_T})}{\prod_{j=1}^q [\gamma_j - \kappa_S(\gamma_j)]} := \sum_{F_0 \subset M_0^S} \frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}(F_0)} \int_{F_0} \frac{i_{F_0}^* \kappa_S(\beta e^{\omega - \mu_T})}{e_S(F_0|M_0) \prod_{j=1}^q \left[\gamma_j - i_{F_0}^* \kappa_S(\gamma_j)\right]},$$

$\operatorname{mult}(F_0)$ *is the multiplicity of F_0 as suborbifold of M_0.*

Corollary. *Let K be one dimensional, then $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\gamma$ with $\gamma \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. If M_Γ is compact then for all $\beta \in H_T(M)$ we have*

$$\int_{M_\Gamma} \Delta(\beta e^{\omega - \mu_T}) = \oint_{M_0} \frac{\kappa_{T/K}(\beta e^{\omega - \mu_T})}{\gamma - \kappa_{T/K}(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \sum_{\substack{F \subset M^T \\ \mu_K(F) \in \Gamma}} \int_F \frac{i_F^*(\beta e^{\omega - \mu_T})}{e_T \mathcal{N}(F|M)}.$$

4. EQUIVARIANT JEFFREY-KIRWAN THEOREM

Motivated by [PW] and [HP2] we define integrations of equivariant cohomology classes on non-compact manifolds formaly by the Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne localization formula provided that the fixed point locus is compact. By the same symplectic cut technique as in [JKo] we prove our main result: an equivariant analog of the Jeffrey-Kirwan theorem for non-compact symplectic quotients under assumption the our spaces admit an auxiliary proper and bounded below moment map (cf. [PW], [HP1], [Ma1]). First we prove an equivariant version of Jeffrey-Kirwan theorem for compact torus quotients. The way we defined the polarization and equivariant residue it makes the theorem more general than the straightforward generalization of the ordinary Jeffrey-Kirwan theorem (cf. [Ma1] Theorem 3). For non-compact spaces we first apply symplectic cut with respect to the proper, bounded below moment map, making them compact. Then we apply our theorem for particular polarization and we show that the new fixed point loci introduced by the cut will not contribute. We use Martin's method [Ma2] to proceed from torus quotient to non-abelian quotients. We conclude the section with hyperKähler versions of the main result.

Let G be a compact Lie group and let S be a torus of rank q. Let (M,ω) be a symplectic (non-compact) manifold with Hamiltonian $G\times S$-action and denote by $\mu_{G\times S}: M\to\mathfrak{g}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*$ its moment map. For any subgroup $H\subset G\times S$ the corresponding moment map is $\mu_H=(\mathfrak{g}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*\to\mathfrak{h}^*)\circ\mu_{G\times S}$. In particular, μ_G and μ_S denote the G- and S-moment maps.

We assume that there is an one dimensional torus K in the center of $G\times S$ with non-surjective proper moment map μ_K. For any $\gamma\in\mathfrak{k}^*_{\mathbb{Z}}$ we can write $\mu_K=\varphi\cdot\gamma$ with $\varphi: M\to\mathbb{R}$. By [PW] we have either $\operatorname{Im}\varphi=(-\infty,\eta]$ or $\operatorname{Im}\varphi=[\eta,+\infty)$ for some $\eta\in\mathbb{R}$. In the first case φ is proper and bounded above, while in the second it is proper and bounded below.

Proper and bounded below assumption. We choose γ such that the corresponding φ to be proper and bounded below.

Let T be a maximal torus of G of rank r such that $K\subset T\times S$. Moreover, suppose that $0\in(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$ is a regular value of μ_G. Let $M/\!\!/G=\mu_G^{-1}(0)/G$ be the symplectic quotient and we assume that it is non-compact.

Remark.

1. Properness of μ_K implies that $\mu_{T\times K}$ and $\mu_{T\times S}$ are also proper.
2. $K\nsubseteq G$ otherwise μ_G would be proper and the symplectic quotient $M/\!\!/G$ would be compact.
3. For any $F\subset M^{T\times S}$ fixed point component $\mu_K(F)$ is a point, therefore F is compact since μ_K is proper.

Compactness assumption. We suppose that $M^{T\times S}$ is compact or equivalently, there are finite number of fixed point components $F\subset M^{T\times S}$.

We recall the following result ([MS] Proposition 5.6.)

Proposition. *If M is a compact $T\times S$-Hamiltonian manifold then $M^{T\times S}$ is non-empty.*

We also recall the following proposition from [PW].

Proposition. *Let T be a torus and $K\subset T$ be an one dimensional subtorus. If M is a T-Hamiltonian manifold such that the K-moment map $\varphi: M\to\mathbb{R}$ proper and bounded below then M^T is non-empty.*

Definition. Let M be a non-compact manifold with a G-action. Let $T\subset G$ be its maximal torus. For $\alpha\in H_G(M)$ we define

$$\oint_M\alpha:=\sum_{F\subset M^T}\int_M\frac{i_F^*\alpha}{e_T\mathcal{N}(F|M)},$$

under assumption that M^T is compact (cf. [HP2]).

Proposition 10. *If $M^{T\times S}$ compact then $(M/\!\!/G)^S$ is also compact.*

Proof. Since $(M/\!\!/G)^S\subset(M/\!\!/G)^K$ it suffices to show that $(M/\!\!/G)^K$ is compact. Every connected component of M^K is compact since φ is proper. Moreover, M^K has finitely many connected components because each of them contains a connected component of $M^{T\times S}$ and $M^{T\times S}$ has finitely many component by assumption. In particular, $M^{T\times K}\subset M^K$ is also compact.

Denote $\pi:\mu_G^{-1}(0)\to M/\!\!/G$ the quotient map. If $m\in(M/\!\!/G)^K$ and $p\in\pi^{-1}(m)\subset M$ then the isotropy group $(G\times K)_p$ is one dimensional. Its unit component $(G\times K)_p^\circ$ is an one dimensional torus. Recall that isotropy groups in the same orbit are conjugate. Thus, there is $q\in(G\times K)\cdot p$ such that $(G\times K)_q^\circ\subset T\times K$. We remark that $(G\times K)_q^\circ\not\subseteq T$ and $\pi^{-1}(m)=G\cdot p\subset G\cdot M^{(G\times K)_q^\circ}$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of all one dimensional subtori $T'\subset T\times K$ with properties

(a) $T'\not\subseteq T$ and
(b) there is $F'\subset M^{T'}$ connected component such that for all T'' torus with $T'\subset T''\subset T\times K$, $T''\not\subseteq T$ we have that $(F')^{T''}$ is strictly smaller than F'.

The set $\mathcal{T}$ is finite. Indeed, let F' be as in (b). F' contains a $T\times K$-fixed point. Choosing $T\times K$-invariant compatible triple we can consider $T\times K$-weights α_i on T_xM for all $T\times K$-fixed points x. Recall that we have the same weight on T_xM for all x in a connected component of $M^{T\times K}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}'$ must be of form $\cap_{\text{some } i}\ker\alpha_i$, thus there is finite number of possible Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{t}\oplus\mathfrak{k}$ as $\mathfrak{t}'$.

We have inclusions of closed subsets

$$\pi^{-1}\left((M/\!\!/G)^K\right)\subset G\cdot\left(\bigcup_{T'\in\mathcal{T}}M^{T'}\cap\mu_G^{-1}(0)\right)\subset G\cdot\left(\bigcup_{T'\in\mathcal{T}}M^{T'}\cap\mu_T^{-1}(0)\right).$$

We conclude our proof by showing that $M^{T'}\cap\mu_T^{-1}(0)$ is compact for any $T'\in\mathcal{T}$. Let $F'\subset M^{T'}$ be a connected component. Recall that $(\mu_{T\times K})(F')$ lies in an affine hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{k}^*$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the inverse image of the point $\mu_{T'}(F')$ under $\mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{k}^*\to(\mathfrak{t}')^*$. $\mathcal{H}\cap(0\times\mathfrak{k}^*)$ is finite since $T'\not\subseteq T$. Finally,

$$F'\cap\mu_T^{-1}(0)\ \subset\ F'\cap\mu_{T\times K}^{-1}(0\times\mathfrak{k}^*)\ \subset\ \mu_{T\times K}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}\cap(0\times\mathfrak{k}^*))$$

and latter set is compact because $\mu_{T\times K}$ is proper. $M^{T'}$ has finitely many connected components since each of them contains a connected component of $M^{T\times S}$, hence $M^{T'}\cap\mu_T^{-1}(0)$ is compact. □

The invariant function $\varphi:M\to\mathbb{R}$ descends to $M/\!\!/G$ and we denote it by φ'. Consider the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}:\mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*\to\mathfrak{k}^*=\mathbb{R}\gamma$ and define

$$\pi:\mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*\to\mathbb{R},\quad \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}(x)=\pi(x)\cdot\gamma. \tag{36}$$

Let $T'\subset T\times S$ be a subtorus and let $N\subset M^{T'}$ be a fixed point component. Recall that $\mu_{T\times S}(N)$ is a wall of $\mu_{T\times S}(M)$ and it lies on the affine subspace $W_{\mu_{T\times S}(N)}=\mu_{T\times S}(F)+(\mathfrak{t}\oplus\mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{t}')^*$ of $\mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*$, where $F\subset N^{T\times S}$ and we identify $(\mathfrak{t}\oplus\mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{t}')^*$ with the subspace $\{\tau\in\mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*\,|\,\tau(\mathfrak{t}')=0\}$. Moreover, $(\mathfrak{t}\oplus\mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{t}')^*$ is spanned by the isotropy $T\times S$-weight vectors of N at F.

Lemma 5. *There exists regular value $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{R}$ of φ such that*

(a) *For all $\mu_{T\times S}(N)$ wall of $\mu_{T\times S}(M)$ such that $W_{\mu_{T\times S}(N)}\cap 0\times\mathfrak{s}^*=\{p\}$ we have $\pi(p)<\varepsilon$.*
(b) $M^{T\times S}\subset\varphi^{-1}(-\infty,\varepsilon]$.
(c) *ε is a regular value of $\varphi':M/\!\!/G\to\mathbb{R}$.*
(d) $(M/\!\!/G)^S\subset(\varphi')^{-1}(-\infty,\varepsilon]$.

Proof. $\varphi(M^{T\times S})$ and $\varphi'((M/\!\!/G)^S)$ are finite by compactness assumption and Proposition 10. It follows that φ and φ' have only finitely many critical values. Moreover, N contains a fixed point component of $M^{T\times S}$ for any wall $\mu_{T\times S}(N)$ of $\mu_{T\times S}(M)$. Thus we have only finitely many $W_{\mu_{T\times S}(N)}$ affine subspaces, hence it yields finitely many values $\pi(p)$ with $W_{\mu_{T\times S}(N)}\cap 0\times\mathfrak{s}^* = \{p\}$. Any value ε bigger than all above will satisfy the required properties. $\square$

Let ε be as in Lemma 5. Consider the symplectic cut $X := M_\Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \varepsilon - \mathbb{R}\gamma \in \mathfrak{k}^*$. Remark that as set $X = \varphi^{-1}(-\infty,\varepsilon) \uplus \varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon)/K$. Since φ is proper and bounded below X is a compact Hamiltonian $G\times S$-manifold. Let $\Delta_X : H_{T\times S}(M) \to H_{T\times S}(X)$ be the cut homomorphism. Denote by ω_X and $\phi_{G\times S}$, respectively the induced symplectic form and $G\times S$-moment map on X. We also use notation ϕ_H for moment maps corresponding to subgroups $H \subset G\times S$.

Lemma. $0\in\mathfrak{g}^*$ *is a regular value of* $\phi_G : X\to\mathfrak{g}^*$.

Proof. It is enough to check that $0\in\mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value of ϕ_G on $\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon)/K$ which is equivalent to G acting locally freely on $\phi_G^{-1}(0)\cap\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon)/K$. This latter holds if and only if $G\times K$ acts locally freely on $\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon)\cap\mu_G^{-1}(0)$, i.e. when $(0,\varepsilon)\in\mathfrak{g}^*\times\mathbb{R}$ is a regular value of $\mu_G\times\varphi : M\to\mathfrak{g}^*\times\mathbb{R}$. By a similar argument this holds exactly when ε is a regular value of $\varphi' : M/\!\!/G\to\mathbb{R}$, which holds by Lemma 5(c). $\square$

4.1. **Abelian version.** First we consider the $G=T$ abelian case. We have the following generalization of the compact Jeffrey-Kirwan theorem.

Theorem 11. *Let X be a compact Hamiltonian $T\times S$-manifold with moment map $\phi_{T\times S} = \phi_T\times\phi_S : X\to\mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*$. Suppose that $0\in\mathfrak{t}^*$ is a regular value of ϕ_T and let $X/\!\!/T = \phi_T^{-1}(0)/T$ be the symplectic quotient. Then for any $\alpha_X\in H_{T\times S}(X)$ and any generic ordered bases x with respect to $\oint_X \alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_T-\phi_S}$ we have*

$$\oint_{X/\!\!/T}\kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_T-\phi_S}) = \mathrm{EqRes}_x\left(\frac{1}{vol(T)}\oint_X \alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_T-\phi_S}\right)(x),$$

where $\kappa_S : H_{T\times S}(X)\to H_S(X/\!\!/T)$ is the S-equivariant Kirwan map and $vol(T)$ is computed with scalar product used for EqRes.

Proof. The proof goes the same way as in [JKo], only the very end is different. Let $y = \{t_1,\dots,t_r,s_1,\dots,s_q\}$ be a generic bases such that $\{t_1,\dots,t_r\}$ and $\{s_1,\dots,s_q\}$ are bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ and $\mathfrak{s}^*$, respectively. Denote $\{t^1,\dots,t^r,s^1,\dots,s^q\}\subset\mathfrak{t}\oplus\mathfrak{s}$ its dual bases. Introduce notations $(t^1)^{<0} = \{a_1t_1+\ldots+a_{r+q}s_q\in\mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^* \mid a_1<0\}$. We define similarly the sets $(t^1)^{\le 0}$, $(t^1)^{\ge 0}$ and $(t^1)^{>0}$. Since y is generic we have $\langle t_2,\dots,t_r,s_1,\dots,s_q\rangle\cap\phi_{T\times S}(X^{T\times S}) = \emptyset$.

Let $\Gamma = Cone(\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_r)\subset\mathfrak{t}^*$ be a rational simplicial cone such that

- (Γ1) $\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_r\in(t^1)^{<0}\cap\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{Z}}$
- (Γ2) Γ intersects any wall of $\phi_T(X)$ transversally,
- (Γ3) $(t^1)^{<0}\cap\phi_T(X^T)\subset\Gamma$, hence $(t^1)^{<0}\cap\phi_{T\times S}(X^{T\times S})\subset\chi^{-1}(\Gamma)$, where $\chi : \mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*\to\mathfrak{t}^*$ projection.

We make symplectic cut with respect to Γ and let X_Γ. We also denote the T- and S-moment maps by ϕ_T and ϕ_S, respectively.

We apply Theorem 9 on X_Γ:

$$\oint_{X_\Gamma} \Delta(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S}}) = \oint_{X_0} \frac{\kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S}})}{\prod_{j=1}^{r}(\gamma_j - \kappa_S(\gamma_j))} \tag{37}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{F\subset X^{T\times S}\\ \phi_T(F)\in\Gamma}} \frac{1}{\delta_\gamma} \int_F \frac{i_F^*(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(F|X)} \tag{38}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{H\subset X_\Gamma^{T\times S}\\ \phi_T(H)\in\partial\Gamma\setminus\{0\}}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{mult}(H)} \int_H \frac{i_H^*\Delta(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(H|X_\Gamma)}, \tag{39}$$

where $\Delta : H_{T\times S}(X) \to H_{T\times S}(X_\Gamma)$ cut homomorphism. Denote the left hand side of (37) by I and the right hand side by I_{red}. Moreover, denote the sum in (38) and (39) by I_{old} and I_{new}, respectively. The short version of the above equality is $I = I_{red} + I_{old} + I_{new}$.

Let $\rho \in \mathrm{int}\,\Gamma$ be generic in a small neighborhood of 0 such that

$$\langle \rho, -t^1\rangle < \langle \phi_T(F'), -t^1\rangle \tag{40}$$

for all $F' \subset X_\Gamma^{T\times S}$ with $\phi_T(F') \neq 0$. Denote z the ordered bases $\{-t_1, \dots, -t_r, -s_1, \dots, -s_q\}$.

Lemma 6. $\mathrm{EqRes}_z I_{old}(z) e^{\rho(z)} + \mathrm{EqRes}_z I_{new}(z) e^{\rho(z)} = 0$.

Proof. Any summand of $I_{old}e^\rho + I_{new}e^\rho$ is of form $\frac{Pe^\lambda}{\prod_i \alpha_i}$ with $\lambda = -\phi_{T\times S}(F') + \rho$ for some $F' \subset X_\Gamma^{T\times S}$ such that $\phi_T(F') \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$. From (40) and ($\Gamma$1) follows that $\lambda \in (t^1)^{>0}$, thus it is not polarized with respect to z and by Corollary 3 we have $\mathrm{EqRes}_z \frac{P(z)e^{\lambda(z)}}{\prod_i \alpha_i(z)} = 0$. ☐

Lemma 7. (a) $\mathrm{EqRes}_y I_{red}(y) e^{\rho(y)} = 0$.

(b) $\mathrm{EqRes}_y I_{old}(y) e^{\rho(y)} = \frac{1}{\delta_\gamma} \mathrm{EqRes}_y \big(\oint_X \alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S} + \rho}\big)(y)$.

Proof. The proof goes similarly as for the previous lemma.

(a) Any summand of $I_{red}e^\rho$ is of form $\frac{Pe^\rho}{\prod_i \alpha_i}$ and recall that $\rho \in (t^1)^{<0}$ by (Γ1). Hence ρ is not polarized with respect to y and from Corollary 3 follows the first part of the lemma.

(b) If $F \subset X^{T\times S}$ such that $\langle \phi_T(F), t^1\rangle > 0$ then its contribution $\int_F \frac{i_F^*(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(F|X)} = \frac{Pe^\lambda}{\prod_i \alpha_i}$ with $\lambda = -\phi_{T\times S}(F) \in (t^1)^{<0}$. Thus ($\Gamma$1) and $\rho \in \Gamma$ implies that $\lambda + \rho \in (t^1)^{<0}$, which is not polarized with respect to y. By Corollary 3 and (Γ3) we get

$$\mathrm{EqRes}_y \left(\frac{1}{\delta_\gamma} \oint_X \alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S} + \rho} \right)(y) = \mathrm{EqRes}_y \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{F\subset X^{T\times S}\\ \phi_T(F)\in\Gamma}} \frac{1}{\delta_\gamma} \int_F \frac{i_F^*(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S} + \rho})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(F|X)} \Bigg)(y).$$

☐

Lemma 8. $\mathrm{EqRes}_y I_{new}(y) e^{\rho(y)} = 0$.

Proof. Denote $U = T \times S$. Any summand of I_{new} is of form $\frac{Pe^\lambda}{\prod_{i\in I'} \alpha'_i \prod_{j\in J_z} \gamma'_j}$, where $\lambda = -\phi_{T\times S}(H)$ for some $H = F_{[x,z]} \subset X_\Gamma^{T\times S}$ with $\phi_T(H) \in \partial\Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha'_i \in \mathfrak{u}_x^*$, $\gamma'_j \in \mathfrak{t}_z^*$ is the projection of γ_j to $\mathfrak{t}_z^*$ along $\mathfrak{u}_x^*$ for all $j \in J_z$. Recall that $\mathfrak{u}^* = \mathfrak{u}_x^* \oplus \mathfrak{t}_z^*$. Denote $\widetilde{\alpha}'_i$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}'_j$ the polarizations of α'_i and γ'_j with respect to y. We will show that $\lambda+\rho \notin Cone(\widetilde{\alpha}'_i,\, \widetilde{\gamma}'_j \,|\, i \in I',\, j \in J_z)$ or equivalently

$$0 \notin \phi_{T\times S}(H) - \rho + Cone(\widetilde{\alpha}'_i,\, \widetilde{\gamma}'_j \,|\, i \in I',\, j \in J_z) \tag{41}$$

and the lemma will follow from Corollary 2. We have $\rho \in \chi^{-1}(\operatorname{int}\Gamma)$, $\phi_{T\times S}(H) \in \mathfrak{u}^*_x$ and $\chi^{-1}(\operatorname{int}\Gamma) \subset \mathfrak{u}^*_x + \operatorname{int} Cone(\gamma'_j \,|\, j \in J_z)$, hence

$$0 \in \phi_{T\times S}(H) - \rho + \mathfrak{u}^*_x + \operatorname{int} Cone(\gamma'_j \,|\, j \in J_z).$$

Moreover, $Cone(\widetilde{\alpha}'_i,\, \widetilde{\gamma}'_j \,|\, i \in I', j \in J_z) \subset u^*_x + Cone(\widetilde{\gamma}'_j \,|\, j \in J_z)$, hence it is enough to show that $Cone(\widetilde{\gamma}'_j \,|\, j \in J_z) \cap \operatorname{int} Cone(\gamma'_j \,|\, j \in J_z) = \emptyset$. These cones are simplicial, thus enough to show that $\widetilde{\gamma}'_j = -\gamma'_j$ for some $j \in J_z$. We consider the functional $\tau : \phi_{T\times S}(F_x) \to \mathbb{R}$, $p \mapsto \langle p, t^1\rangle$. Since the intersection of the vector space $\mathfrak{u}^*_x$ and the convex polytope $\phi_{T\times S}(F_x)$ is transversal in $\phi_{T\times S}(H)$, hence $\phi_{T\times S}(H)$ is in the interior of $\phi_{T\times S}(F_x)$. By (Γ3) and convexity of $\phi_{T\times S}(F_x)$ all minimal points of τ lie in $\operatorname{int}\Gamma$, thus $\phi_{T\times S}(H)$ cannot be a minimal point of $\tau|_{\phi_{T\times S}(F_x)\cap\Gamma}$ and since $\phi_{T\times S}(F_x) \cap \Gamma \subset \phi_{T\times S}(H) + Cone(\gamma'_j \,|\, j \in J_z)$ there must be $j \in J_z$ such that $\langle \gamma'_j, t^1\rangle < 0$, implying $\widetilde{\gamma}'_j = -\gamma'_j$. □

Lemma 9. $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0} \operatorname{EqRes}_z I_{red}(z) e^{\varepsilon\rho(z)} = \dfrac{vol(T)}{\delta_\gamma} \displaystyle\oint_{X_0} \kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S}})$.

Proof. If $D \subset X_0^S$ is a fixed point component, then the corresponding contribution to I_{red} equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}(D)} \int_D \frac{i^*_D\kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S}})}{e_S\mathcal{N}(D|X_0)\prod_{j=1}^r(\gamma_j - i^*_D\kappa_S(\gamma_j))} \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}(D)} \int_D \frac{i^*_D\kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S}})}{e_S\mathcal{N}(D|X_0)\prod_{j=1}^r(\gamma_j + \eta_j - i^*_D\kappa(\gamma_j))} \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}(D)} \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r\geq 0} \int_D \frac{i^*_D\kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S}})}{e_S\mathcal{N}(D|X_0)} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{i^*_D\kappa(\gamma_j)^{k_j}}{(\gamma_j+\eta_j)^{k_j+1}} \\ &\qquad = \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r\geq 0} \frac{P_{k_1,\dots,k_r} e^{-\phi_S(D)}}{\prod_{j=1}(\gamma_j+\eta_j)^{k_j+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where $\operatorname{mult}(D)$ is the multiplicity of D as suborbifold of X_0, $\eta_j \in \mathfrak{s}^*$ such that $i^*_D\kappa_S(\gamma_j) = i^*_D\kappa(\gamma_j) - \eta_j$ and $P_{k_1,\dots,k_r}$ is a rational fraction in s such that

$$P_{k_1,\dots k_r} e^{-\phi_S(D)} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}(D)} \int_D \frac{i^*_D\kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X - \phi_{T\times S}})}{e_S\mathcal{N}(D|X_0)} \prod_{j=1}^r i^*_D\kappa(\gamma_j)^{k_j}.$$

By Proposition 6 and (Γ1) we have

$$\operatorname{EqRes}_z \frac{P_{k_1,\dots,k_r}(s) e^{-\phi_S(D)(s)+\rho(z)}}{\prod_{j=1}(\gamma_j(z)+\eta_j(z))^{k_j+1}} = \frac{P_{k_1,\dots,k_r}(s) e^{-\phi_S(D)(s) - \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_j\eta_j(s)}}{\sqrt{\det[(t_a,t_b)]_{a,b}} \cdot \left|\det\left(\frac{\partial\gamma_j}{\partial t_i}\right)\right|} \cdot \frac{\rho_1^{k_1}\cdots\rho_r^{k_r}}{k_1!\cdots k_r!}, \tag{43}$$

where $\rho = \rho_1(\gamma_1+\eta_1) + \dots \rho_r(\gamma_r+\eta_r) - \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_j\eta_j$. Let $\{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_r\}$ be a bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_r\}$ be an orthonormal bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Then by Remark 3 we have

$$\sqrt{\det[(t_a,t_b)]_{a,b}} \cdot \left|\det\left(\frac{\partial\gamma_j}{\partial t_i}\right)\right| = \left|\det\left(\frac{\partial\gamma_j}{\partial\nu_i}\right)\right| = \left|\det\left(\frac{\partial\gamma_j}{\partial\tau_l}\right)\right| \cdot \left|\det\left(\frac{\partial\tau_l}{\partial\nu_i}\right)\right| = \frac{\delta_\gamma}{vol(T)}. \tag{44}$$

Remark that $P_{0,\dots,0}e^{-\phi_S(D)} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{mult}(D)}\int_D \frac{i_D^*\kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_{T\times S}})}{e_S\mathcal{N}(D|X_0)}$, thus by equations (42), (43) and (44) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathrm{EqRes}_z I_{red}(z)e^{\varepsilon\rho(z)} = \\
&\qquad \frac{vol(T)}{\delta_\gamma}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r\geq 0} P_{k_1,\dots,k_r}(s)\frac{(\varepsilon\rho_1)^{k_1}\cdots(\varepsilon\rho_r)^{k_r}}{k_1!\cdots k_r!}e^{-\phi_S(D)(s)-\sum_{j=1}^r\varepsilon\rho_j\eta_j(s)} \\
&\qquad\qquad = \frac{vol(T)}{\delta_\gamma}P_{0,\dots,0}e^{-\phi_S(D)} = \frac{vol(T)}{\delta_\gamma}\oint_{X_0}\kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_{T\times S}}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

From Proposition 5(a) we have $\mathrm{EqRes}_y I(y)e^{\rho(y)} = \mathrm{EqRes}_z I(z)e^{\rho(z)}$ and by Lemmas 6, 7(a) and 8 it yields $\mathrm{EqRes}_y I_{old}(y)e^{\rho}(y) = \mathrm{EqRes}_z I_{red}(z)e^{\rho(z)}$. Taking limit as $\rho\to 0$ we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\delta_\gamma}\mathrm{EqRes}_y\left(\oint_X \alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_{T\times S}+\varepsilon\rho}\right)(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathrm{EqRes}_z I_{red}(z)e^{\varepsilon\rho(z)}$$

by Lemma 7(b), hence we arrive to

$$\frac{1}{vol(T)}\mathrm{EqRes}_y\left(\oint_X \alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_{T\times S}}\right)(y) = \oint_{X_0}\kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_{T\times S}}),$$

by Lemma 9 and Proposition 5(b). We use again Proposition 5(a) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{vol(T)}\mathrm{EqRes}_x\left(\oint_X \alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_{T\times S}}\right)(x) &= \frac{1}{vol(T)}\mathrm{EqRes}_y\left(\oint_X \alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_{T\times S}}\right)(y) \\
&= \oint_{X_0}\kappa_S(\alpha_X e^{\omega_X-\phi_{T\times S}}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The abelian version of our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 12. *Let $y = \{y_1,\dots,y_{r+q}\}$ be an ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*$ such that $\pi(y_1) > 0$ and $y_2,\dots,y_{r+q}\in\ker\pi$. Then*

$$\oint_{M/\!\!/T}\kappa_S(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}) = \mathrm{EqRes}_x\left(\frac{1}{vol(T)}\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}\right)(x), \tag{45}$$

where x is a generic bases with respect to $\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}$, inducing the same polarization as y on isotropy $T\times S$-weights of M.

Proof. By Lemma 5(b) we have $X^{T\times S} = M^{T\times S}\uplus M_\varepsilon^{T\times S}$, where $M_\varepsilon = \varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon)/K$. Theorem 11 on X yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\oint_{X_0}\kappa_S\Delta_X(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}) &= \mathrm{EqRes}_x\frac{1}{vol(T)}\left(\oint_X \Delta_X(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})\right)(x) \\
&= \mathrm{EqRes}_x\frac{1}{vol(T)}\left(\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}\right)(x) \\
&\quad + \mathrm{EqRes}_x\frac{1}{vol(T)}\left(\oint_{M_\varepsilon}\frac{\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)}\right)(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where $\kappa_{T\times S/K} : H_{T\times S}(M) \to H_{T\times S/K}(M_\varepsilon) \subset H_{T\times S}(M_\varepsilon)$. We can write X_0 as symplectic cut with respect to the cone $\Gamma = \varepsilon - \mathbb{R}\gamma \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$ on M_0, i.e. $X_0 = (M_0)_\Gamma$ and $X_0 = (\varphi')^{-1}(-\infty, \varepsilon) \uplus (\varphi')^{-1}(\varepsilon)/K$ as set. By Lemma 5(c) and (d) we have $X_0^{T\times S} = M_0^{T\times S} \uplus M_{0,\varepsilon}^{T\times S}$, where $M_0 = M/\!\!/T$ and $M_{0,\varepsilon} = M_0/\!\!/_\varepsilon K = (\varphi')^{-1}(\varepsilon)/K$. The Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne theorem on X_0 gives

$$\oint_{X_0} \kappa_S \Delta_X(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}) = \oint_{M_0} \kappa_S(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}) + \oint_{M_{0,\varepsilon}} \frac{i^*_{M_{0,\varepsilon}} \kappa_S \Delta_X(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})}{e_S \mathcal{N}(M_{0,\varepsilon}|X_0)}, \tag{47}$$

and combining with equation (46) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{M_0} \kappa_S(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}) = {} & \operatorname{EqRes}_x \frac{1}{vol(T)} \left(\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}} \right)(x) \\ & + \operatorname{EqRes}_x \frac{1}{vol(T)} \left(\oint_{M_\varepsilon} \frac{\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)} \right)(x) \\ & - \oint_{M_{0,\varepsilon}} \frac{i^*_{M_{0,\varepsilon}} \kappa_S \Delta_X(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})}{e_S \mathcal{N}(M_{0,\varepsilon}|X_0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

We conclude the proof by the following lemma. □

Lemma.

$$\oint_{M_{\varepsilon,0}} \frac{i^*_{0,\varepsilon} \kappa_S \Delta_X(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})}{e_S \mathcal{N}(M_{0,\varepsilon}|X_0)} = \operatorname{EqRes}_x \frac{1}{vol(T)} \left(\oint_{M_\varepsilon} \frac{\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)} \right)(x). \tag{49}$$

Proof. By symplectic cut construction of X_0 we have

$$i^*_{M_{0,\varepsilon}} \kappa_S \Delta_X(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}) = \kappa_{T\times S/T\times K}(\kappa_S(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})), \tag{50}$$

where $\kappa_{T\times S/T\times K} : H_S(M_0) = H_{T\times S/T}(M_0) \to H_{T\times S/T\times K}(M_{0,\varepsilon})$. Moreover $M_\varepsilon/\!\!/_0 T$ and $M_{0,\varepsilon} = M_0/\!\!/_\varepsilon T$ are naturally diffeomorphic to $\mu_T^{-1}(0) \cap \varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon)/T \times K$ and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd} H_{T\times S}(M) \arrow[r, "\kappa_{T\times S/K}"] \arrow[d, "\kappa_S"'] & H_{T\times S/K}(M_\varepsilon) \arrow[d, "\kappa'_{T\times S/T\times K}"] \\ H_S(M_0) \arrow[r, "\kappa_{T\times S/T\times K}"'] & H_{T\times S/T\times K}(M_{0,\varepsilon}) \end{tikzcd} .$$

Thus (50) equals to $\kappa'_{T\times S/T\times K}(\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}))$. Furthermore, by Lemma 4 we have

$$\kappa'_{T\times S/T\times K}(e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)) = e_{T\times S/T\times K}\mathcal{N}(M_{0,\varepsilon}|X_0) = e_S\mathcal{N}(M_{0,\varepsilon}|X_0).$$

Hence the statement of the lemma is equivalent to

$$\oint_{M_{\varepsilon,0}} \kappa'_{T\times S/T\times K} \left(\frac{\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)} \right) = \operatorname{EqRes}_x \frac{1}{vol(T)} \left(\oint_{M_\varepsilon} \frac{\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)} \right)(x) \tag{51}$$

By Remark 2 it is enough to consider poles spanned by isotropy $T \times S$-weight vectors at a fixed point component. Thus poles of $\oint_X \Delta_X(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}})$ may be divided in two groups:

(i) poles contained in $\ker \pi$,
(ii) poles of $\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}$ not in $\ker \pi$.

We conclude the proof of the lemma with the following two lemmas. □

Lemma.

$$\sum_{\text{poles } V \not\subseteq \ker \pi} \mathrm{JKRes}_v \frac{1}{vol(T)} \left(\oint_{M_\varepsilon} \frac{\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega - \mu_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)} \right)(v,s) = 0,$$

where v is the bases induced by x on V.

Proof. V is a pole of $\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega - \mu_{T\times S}}$ since $V \not\subseteq \ker \pi$. Let $H \subset M_\varepsilon^{T\times S}$ fixed point component. Recall H is of form $F_{[m,0]}$ for some $m \in M$. We may suppose that V is spanned by isotropy $T \times S$-weight vectors $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r$ at H. Since $V = \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r \rangle \not\subseteq \ker \pi$ we may also suppose that $\beta_1 = \varrho_0(\gamma) \in \mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{s}^*$ and $\beta_i = \varrho_m(\eta_i)$ where $\eta_i \in (\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{s})_m^*$ for all $i = 2, \ldots, r$. Let $U \subset T \times S$ be the subtorus such that $Lie(U) = \cap_{i=2}^r \ker \eta_i \subset \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$. Let $Y \subset M^U$ be the fixed component containing F_m. Recall that $\mu_{T\times S}(F_m) = \mu_{T\times S}(H) + \langle \varrho_0(\gamma) \rangle$ and $Y^{T\times S} \neq \emptyset$ since $\varphi|_Y$ is proper and bounded below. Hence the supporting affine plane of $\mu_{T\times S}(Y)$ is equal to

$$\mu_{T\times S}(H) + \langle \varrho_0(\gamma), \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_r \rangle = \mu_{T\times S}(Y^{T\times S}) + \langle \varrho_0(\gamma), \varrho_m(\eta_2), \ldots, \varrho_m(\eta_r) \rangle = \mu_{T\times S}(H) + V,$$

because $\beta_i = \varrho_m(\eta_i)$ equals η_i modulo $\langle \varrho_0(\gamma) \rangle$. By Lemma 5(a) if

$$\{p\} := \big(\mu_{T\times S}(H) + V\big) \cap \big(\{0\} \times \mathfrak{s}^*\big)$$

then $\pi(p) < \varepsilon = \pi(\mu_{T\times S}(H))$, i.e. $\pi(\mu_{T\times S}(H) - p) > 0$, therefore $\mu_{T\times S}(H) - p \in V$ is polarized. Hence

$$-\mu_{T\times S}(H) = a_1 v_1 + \ldots + a_r v_r - p$$

with $p \in \mathfrak{s}^*$ and $a_1 < 0$ since v is generic. Thus

$$\mathrm{JKRes}_v \frac{1}{vol(T)} \left(\oint_{M_\varepsilon} \frac{\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega - \mu_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)} \right)(v,s) = 0.$$

□

Lemma.

$$\sum_{\text{poles } V \subset \ker \pi} \mathrm{JKRes}_v \frac{1}{vol(T)} \left(\oint_{M_\varepsilon} \frac{\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega - \mu_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)} \right)(v,s)$$
$$= \oint_{M_{\varepsilon,0}} \kappa'_{T\times S/T\times K} \left(\frac{\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega - \mu_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)} \right)$$

Proof. We decompose $\mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{s}^* = \langle \varrho_0(\gamma) \rangle \oplus ((\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{s})/\mathfrak{k})^*$, hence we have

$$e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X) = -\varrho_0(\gamma) + e_{T\times S/K}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X).$$

Moreover, on M_ε only $T \times S/K$ acts effectively, hence $H_{T\times S}(M_\varepsilon) = H_{T\times S/K}(M_\varepsilon) \otimes H_K(pt)$. We expand fractions with respect to $v \ll \varrho_0(\gamma)$ and $v \ll s$, hence considering $\varrho_0(\gamma)$ as non-zero real parameter then $\oint_{M_\varepsilon} \frac{\kappa_{T\times S/K}(\alpha e^{\omega - \mu_{T\times S}})}{e_{T\times S}\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon|X)}$ is well-defined as integral of equivariant cohomology class in $H_{T\times S/K}(M_\varepsilon)$. Finally, the lemma follows from Theorem 11 (considering $\varrho_0(\gamma)$ as non-zero real parameter.) □

4.2. **Non-abelian version.** We deduce the non-abelian version of Theorem 12 following closely [Ma2]. We adapt their technique to the equivariant setting which are carried out in Lemma 10 and 11. In this subsection we use a different notational system for Kirwan maps as before.

Suppose that $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value of $\mu_T : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$, hence it is also a regular value of $\phi_T : X \to \mathfrak{t}^*$. Denote $\mathfrak{v}^* = \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{t}^*)$. Choice of positive roots fixes the orientation of $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{v}^*$ such that

$$\mathfrak{v}^* \simeq \oplus_\beta \mathbb{C}_{(\beta)},$$

where the sum is over the negative roots and $\mathbb{C}_{(\beta)}$ is the one-dimensional representation of T on which it acts by weight β. The restriction of ϕ_G to $\phi_T^{-1}(0)$ defines an $T \times S$-equivariant map $\sigma' : \phi_T^{-1}(0) \to \mathfrak{v}^*$ (S acts trivially on $\mathfrak{v}^*$) which induces an S-equivariant section σ of the associated bundle $E^- := (X \times \mathfrak{v}^*)/\!\!/T \to X/\!\!/T$. $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is regular value of ϕ_G is equivalent to σ being a section transverse to the zero section. Denote $Z := \phi_G^{-1}(0) \subset X$, hence Z/T is a submanifold of $X/\!\!/T$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z/T \arrow[r, hook, "i"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & X/\!\!/T \\
Z/G = X/\!\!/G &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical subbundle $\ker d\pi$ of $T(Z/T)$ is isomorphic to $E^+|_{Z/T}$, where $E^+ = (X \times \mathfrak{v})/\!\!/T$. Denote $\kappa'_T : H_{T\times S}(X) \to H_S(X/\!\!/T)$ and $\kappa'_G : H_{G\times S}(X) \to H_S(X/\!\!/G)$ the abelian and non-abelian Kirwan maps. For $\alpha \in H_{G\times S}(X) \simeq H_{T\times S}(X)^W$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{X/\!\!/G} \kappa'_G(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{Z/T} \pi^* \kappa_G(\alpha) e_S(E^+|_{Z/T}) && \text{by Lemma 10} \\
&= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{Z/T} i^*(\kappa'_T(\alpha) e_S(E^+)) && \pi^*\kappa'_G = i^*\kappa'_T \\
&= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{X/\!\!/T} \kappa'_T(\alpha) e_S(E^+) e_S(E^-) && \text{by Lemma 11} \\
&= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{X/\!\!/T} \kappa'_T(\alpha\,\varpi),
\end{aligned}$$

where ϖ is the product of all roots.

Recall that by symplectic cut with respect to φ on $M/\!\!/T$ and $M/\!\!/G$ we have

$$\oint_{M/\!\!/T} \kappa_T(\alpha\varpi) = \int_{X/\!\!/T} \kappa'_T \Delta_X(\alpha\varpi) - \int_{M_\varepsilon/\!\!/T} \frac{\kappa''_{T\times K}(\alpha\varpi)}{e_S \mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon/\!\!/T \,|\, X/\!\!/T)}$$

and

$$\oint_{M/\!\!/G} \kappa_T(\alpha) = \int_{X/\!\!/G} \kappa'_G \Delta_X(\alpha) - \int_{M_\varepsilon/\!\!/G} \frac{\kappa''_{G\times K}(\alpha)}{e_S \mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon/\!\!/G \,|\, X/\!\!/G)},$$

where $\kappa''_{T\times K} : H_{T\times S}(M) \to H_{T\times S/T\times K}(M_\varepsilon/\!\!/T)$ and $\kappa''_{G\times K} : H_{G\times S}(M) \to H_{G\times S/G\times K}(M_\varepsilon/\!\!/G)$ are the Kirwan maps. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|W|}\oint_{M/\!\!/T} \kappa_T(\alpha\varpi) &= \frac{1}{|W|}\int_{X/\!\!/T} \kappa'_T \Delta_X(\alpha\varpi) - \frac{1}{|W|}\int_{M_\varepsilon/\!\!/T} \frac{\kappa''_{T\times K}(\alpha\varpi)}{e_S\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon/\!\!/T \mid X/\!\!/T)} \\ &= \int_{X/\!\!/G} \kappa'_G \Delta_X(\alpha) - \int_{M_\varepsilon/\!\!/G} \frac{\kappa''_{G\times K}(\alpha)}{e_S\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon/\!\!/T \mid X/\!\!/T)} \\ &= \oint_{M/\!\!/G} \kappa_G(\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

If 0 is not a regular value of μ_T then choose a regular value ρ close 0. As observed in [Ma2] we have

$$\int_{X/\!\!/G} \kappa'_G(\alpha) = \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1}{|W|}\int_{X/\!\!/_{s\rho}T} \kappa'_T(\alpha\,\varpi)$$

and similarly we have

$$\oint_{M/\!\!/G} \kappa_G(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{G\times S}}) = \lim_{s\to 0}\frac{1}{|W|}\oint_{M/\!\!/_{s\rho}T} \kappa_T(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}\varpi).$$

We change notation of the Kirwan map κ_G to $\kappa_S : H_{G\times S}(M) \to H_S(M/\!\!/G)$ to make the statement of our main result compatible with Theorem 12.

Theorem 13. *Let $y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_{r+q}\}$ be an ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{s}^*$ such that $\pi(y_1) > 0$ and $y_2, \ldots, y_{r+q} \in \ker\pi$. Then*

$$\oint_{M/\!\!/G} \kappa_S(\alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{G\times S}}) = \lim_{s\to 0} \operatorname{EqRes}_x \left(\frac{\varpi}{|W| vol(T)}\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}+s\rho}\right)(x), \tag{52}$$

where x is a generic bases with respect to $\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega-\mu_{T\times S}}$, inducing the same polarization as y on isotropy $T\times S$-weights of M and ρ is a regular value of μ_T close to 0.

We conclude this subsection by proving the following lemmas.

Lemma 10.

$$\int_{Z/G} \kappa'_G(\alpha) = \frac{1}{|W|}\int_{Z/T} \pi^*\kappa'_G(\alpha) e_S(\ker d\pi).$$

Proof. $\pi : Z/T \to Z/G$ is fibration with fiber G/T and π is S-equivariant. For $H \subset (Z/G)^S$ fixed point component we have $\pi^{-1}(H) = F \subset (Z/T)^S$ is also a fixed point component. Moreover, we have S-equivariant isomorphism of normal bundles $\mathcal{N}(F|Z/T) \simeq \pi^*\mathcal{N}(H|Z/G)$. Finally, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{Z/G} \kappa'_G(\alpha) &= \sum_{H\subset(Z/G)^S} \int_H \frac{i_H^*\kappa'_G(\alpha)}{e_S\mathcal{N}(H|Z/G)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|W|}\sum_{F\subset(Z/T)^S}\int_F \frac{i_F^*\pi^*\kappa'_G(\alpha)e(\ker d\pi)}{e_S\pi^*\mathcal{N}(H|Z/G)}\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{F \subset (Z/T)^S} \int_F \frac{i_F^* \pi^* \kappa'_G(\alpha) e_S(\ker d\pi)}{e_S \mathcal{N}(F|Z/T)} \\
&= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{Z/T} \pi^* \kappa'_G(\alpha) e_S(\ker d\pi).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Lemma 11. *Let $E \to X$ be an S-equivariant vector bundle over a compact space X. Let σ be an S-equivariant section, transverse to the zero section. Denote $Z = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ the zero set of the section and $i_Z : Z \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion. For any $\eta \in H_S(X)$ we have*

$$\int_Z i_Z^* \eta = \int_X \eta e_S(E).$$

Proof. Let $F \subset X^S$ and $H \subset F \cap Z \subset Z^S$ be fixed point components. Denote $i_F : F \hookrightarrow X$, $i_H : H \hookrightarrow Z$, and $j : H \hookrightarrow F$ the inclusions. By transversality of σ we have equivariant isomorphism of vector bundles

$$E|_Z \simeq \mathcal{N}(Z|X) \tag{53}$$

We have equivariant decomposition $E|_F = (E|_F)^S \oplus E'$, hence $E|_H = (E|_H)^S \oplus E'|_H$. Moreover, by (53)

$$\mathcal{N}(Z|X)|_H \simeq (E|_H)^S \oplus E'|_H \tag{54}$$

The inclusions $H \subset Z \subset X$ gives

$$\mathcal{N}(H|X) \simeq \mathcal{N}(H|Z) \oplus \mathcal{N}(Z|X)|_H, \tag{55}$$

moreover the inclusions $H \subset F \subset X$ yields

$$\mathcal{N}(H|X) \simeq \mathcal{N}(H|F) \oplus \mathcal{N}(F|X)|_H, \tag{56}$$

and finally we also have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{N}(H|X) \simeq \mathcal{N}(H|X)^S \oplus \mathcal{N}(H|X)'. \tag{57}$$

Since $\mathcal{N}(H|F) \simeq \mathcal{N}(H|X)^S$ by equations (56) and (57) we have

$$\mathcal{N}(F|X)|_H \simeq \mathcal{N}(H|X)', \tag{58}$$

and equations (54), (55) and (57) give

$$\mathcal{N}(H|X)' \simeq \mathcal{N}(H|Z) \oplus E'|_H. \tag{59}$$

The isomorphisms (58) and (59) implies

$$e_S \mathcal{N}(H|Z) = \frac{j^* e_S \mathcal{N}(F|X)}{j^* e_S(E')} \tag{60}$$

Finally, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Z i_Z^*\eta &= \sum_{H\subset Z^S}\int_H \frac{i_H^*\eta}{e_S\mathcal{N}(H|Z)} & \\
&= \sum_{H\subset Z^S}\int_H \frac{(i_H^*\eta)j^*e_S(E')}{j^*e_S\mathcal{N}(F|X)} & \text{by (60)} \\
&= \sum_{F\subset X^S}\int_F \frac{(i_F^*\eta)e_S(E')e((E|_F)^S)}{e_S\mathcal{N}(F|X)} & \text{by ordinary Poincaré duality} \\
&= \sum_{F\subset X^S}\int_F \frac{(i_F^*\eta)e_S(E|_F)}{e_S\mathcal{N}(F|X)} & e((E|_F)^S) = e_S((E|_F)^S) \\
&= \int_X \eta\, e_S(E). &
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

4.3. **HyperKähler version.** We formulate an analogue of Theorem 13 for hyperKähler quotients. First we compare torus hyperKähler quotients to symplectic quotients then by [HP2] we conclude the formula for general hyperKähler quotients.

Let M be a hyperKähler manifold with real symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{R}}$ and complex symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let M admit an action of a compact Lie group G which acts on it in a hyper-Hamiltonian manner with hyperKähler moment map $\mu = (\mu_{\mathbb{R}}, \mu_{\mathbb{C}}) : M \to \mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. We also consider an additional Hamiltonian S-action on $(M, \omega_{\mathbb{R}})$ which commutes with the G-action and its moment map is denoted by $\mu_S : M \to \mathfrak{s}^*$. We assume that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is a $G\times S$-representation (coadjoint action for G) and $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}$ is $G\times S$-equivariant. We also need that for a maximal torus $T\subset G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ we have $(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)^S = \{0\}$. Similarly to the symplectic case we suppose that there is an one dimensional subtorus K in the center of $G\times S$ with moment map $\mu_K : (M, \omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ such that $\mu_K = \varphi\gamma$ where $\gamma\in\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ and $\varphi : M\to\mathbb{R}$ is proper and bounded below. Finally, let $(\xi, 0) \in (\mathfrak{g}^*\oplus\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)^G$ be a regular value of μ.

First we discuss the abelian case, therefore let $G = T$. As before we construct X as symplectic cut of M with respect to φ. Denote by $\phi_{T\times S} : X \to \mathfrak{t}^*\times\mathfrak{s}^*$ the $T\times S$-moment map induced by $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}\times\mu_S$ and by $\psi : X\to\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ the equivariant map induced by $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}$. Suppose that ξ is a regular value of $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}$, hence it is also a regular value of ϕ_T. Let $\tilde{\psi} : X/\!\!/_{\xi}T \to \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ the S-equivariant map induced by ψ and denote $i : Z \hookrightarrow X/\!\!/_{\xi}T$ the inclusion where $Z := \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(0)$. We have $Z^S = (X/\!\!/_{\xi}T)^S$ by $(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)^S = \{0\}$ and remark that $Z\times\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \simeq \mathcal{N}(Z|X/\!\!/_{\xi}T)$. For $\alpha'\in H_{T\times S}(X)$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Z i_Z^*\kappa_T'(\alpha') &= \sum_{F\subset Z^S}\int_F \frac{i_F^*\kappa_T'(\alpha')}{e_S\mathcal{N}(F|Z)} \\
&= \sum_{F\subset Z^S}\int_F \frac{i_F^*\kappa_T'(\alpha')e_S\mathcal{N}(Z|X/\!\!/_{\xi}T)}{e_S\mathcal{N}(F|X/\!\!/_{\xi}T)} \\
&= \sum_{F\subset Z^S}\int_F \frac{i_F^*\kappa_T'(\alpha')e_S(Z\times\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)}{e_S\mathcal{N}(F|X/\!\!/_{\xi}T)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{X/\!\!/_{\xi}T} \kappa'_T(\alpha')\vartheta \\
&= \int_{X/\!\!/_{\xi}T} \kappa'_T(\alpha'\vartheta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where ϑ is the product of S-weight on $\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ and remark that $\kappa'_T : H_{T\times S}(X) \to H_S(X/\!\!/_{\xi}T)$ is $H_S(pt)$-linear. As before for $\alpha \in H_{T\times S}(M)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\oint_{M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}T} \kappa_T(\alpha) &= \int_Z i^*_Z \kappa'_T \Delta_X(\alpha) - \int_{Z\cap M_\varepsilon/\!\!/_{\xi}T} \frac{i^*_{Z\cap M_\varepsilon/\!\!/_{\xi}T}\kappa''_{T\times K}(\alpha)}{e_S\mathcal{N}(Z\cap M_\varepsilon/\!\!/_{\xi}T \mid Z)} \\
&= \int_{X/\!\!/_{\xi}T} \kappa'_T(\Delta_X(\alpha)\vartheta) - \int_{M_\varepsilon/\!\!/T} \frac{\kappa''_{T\times K}(\alpha\vartheta)}{e_S\mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon/\!\!/_{\xi}T \mid X/\!\!/_{\xi}T)} \\
&= \oint_{M/\!\!/_{\xi}T} \kappa_T(\alpha\vartheta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa''_{T\times K} : H_{T\times S}(M) \to H_{T\times S/T\times K}(M_\varepsilon/\!\!/_{\xi}T)$. If ξ is not a regular value of $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}$ then we perturb ξ to a regular value and we take the limit. Let $\rho \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ be in a small neighborhood of 0 such that $\xi + \rho$ is a regular value of $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then

$$
\oint_{M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}T} \kappa_T(\alpha) = \lim_{s\to 0} \oint_{M/\!\!/_{\xi}T} \kappa_T(\alpha\vartheta).
$$

The general case can be deduced as follows. We introduce notation $\mu^T_{\mathbb{R}} : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$ for the abelian real moment map, it is the composition of $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the projection $\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{t}^*$. Suppose that ξ is a regular value of $\mu^T_{\mathbb{R}} : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$ the abelian real moment map, otherwise we perturb ξ to a regular value of $\mu^T_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then by Theorem 2.2 of [HP2] we have the following relation

$$
\oint_{M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}G} \kappa_G(\alpha) = \frac{1}{|W|} \oint_{M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}T} \kappa_T(\alpha\,\varpi_{\mathbb{R}}\,\varpi_{\mathbb{C}}) = \frac{1}{|W|} \oint_{M/\!\!/_{\xi}T} \kappa_T(\alpha\,\vartheta\,\varpi_{\mathbb{R}}\,\varpi_{\mathbb{C}}),
$$

where ϑ is the product of S-weight on $\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\varpi_{\mathbb{R}} = \varpi$ is the product of roots of G and $\varpi_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the product of $T\times S$-weights on $\mathfrak{v}^*_{\mathbb{C}} = \ker(\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{C}})$. To make the notations compatible with Theorem 13 we change notation of the Kirwan map from κ_G to $\kappa_S : H_{G\times S}(M) \to H_S(M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}G)$

Theorem 14. *Let $y = \{y_1, \dots, y_{r+q}\}$ be an ordered bases of $\mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{s}^*$ such that $\pi(y_1) > 0$ and $y_2, \dots, y_{r+q} \in \ker\pi$. Then*

$$
\oint_{M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}G} \kappa_S(\alpha e^{\omega_{\mathbb{R}} - \mu_{\mathbb{R}} - \mu_S + \xi}) = \lim_{s\to 0} \operatorname{EqRes}_x \left(\frac{\vartheta\,\varpi_{\mathbb{R}}\,\varpi_{\mathbb{C}}}{|W| vol(T)} \oint_M \alpha e^{\omega_{\mathbb{R}} - \mu^T_{\mathbb{R}} - \mu_S + \xi + s\rho} \right)(x), \tag{61}
$$

where x is a generic bases with respect to $\oint_M \alpha e^{\omega_{\mathbb{R}} - \mu^T_{\mathbb{R}} - \mu_S + \xi}$, inducing the same polarization as y on isotropy $T\times S$-weights of M, ϖ is the product of roots, $\vartheta\varpi$ is the product of $T\times S$-weights on $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\rho \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ small such that $\xi + \rho$ is a regular value of $\mu^T_{\mathbb{R}}$.

5. Applications

5.1. **Cohomology ring of Hilbert scheme of points in the plane.** As an application of Theorem 14 we compute the (equivariant) cohomology ring of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ the Hilbert scheme of point on the plane (cf. [LS], [Va]) using the construction of [Na] to get $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ as a hyperKähler quotient. To compute the resulting residue we develop a language of diagrams which make the computation more intuitive.

Consider $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ with $U(n)$ action given by

$$g \cdot (A, a) = (g^{-1}Ag, g^{-1}a), \qquad g \in U(n),\ A \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n),\ a \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^n).$$

$\mathcal{M} = T^*\mathcal{A}$ is a hyperKähler manifold with natural $U(n)$-action

$$g \cdot (A, a, B, b) = (g^{-1}Ag, g^{-1}a, g^{-1}Bg, bg),$$

where $A, B \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $a \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $b \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})$. This action is hyperHamiltonian with real and complex moment maps

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{\mathbb{R}} : (\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathfrak{u}(n)^*, \quad (A, a, B, b) \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\big([A, A^*] + [B, B^*] + aa^* - b^*b\big),\\ &\mu_{\mathbb{C}} : (\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathfrak{u}(n)^*_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad (A, a, B, b) \mapsto [A, B] + ab,\end{aligned}$$

where we used identification $\mathfrak{u}(n)^* \simeq \mathfrak{u}(n)$. Let $\xi = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}I_n$. Then $(\xi, 0)$ is a regular value of $\mu = (\mu_{\mathbb{R}}, \mu_{\mathbb{C}})$ and we have

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2) \simeq \mathcal{M}/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}U(n).$$

We remark that ξ is a regular value of $\mu^T_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $(\xi, 0)$ is a regular value of $\mu^T = (\mu^T_{\mathbb{R}}, \mu^T_{\mathbb{C}})$, where $\mu^T_{\mathbb{R}} : (\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathfrak{t}^*$,

$$\mu^T_{\mathbb{R}}(A, a, B, b)_k = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\big(A_{kj}\bar{A}_{kj} - A_{jk}\bar{A}_{jk} + B_{kj}\bar{B}_{kj} - B_{jk}\bar{B}_{jk}\big) + a_k\bar{a}_k - \bar{b}_k b_k\Big).$$

is the real abelian moment map and $\mu^T_{\mathbb{C}} : (\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$\mu^T_{\mathbb{C}}(A, a, B, b)_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{kj}B_{jk} + a_k b_k.$$

Hence the abelian symplectic and hyperKähler quotients $\mathcal{M}/\!\!/_{\xi}T$ and $\mathcal{M}/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}T$ exist. The latter is a hypertoric variety (cf. [HP1]).

We consider an auxiliary circle action of $S = U(1)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ given by

$$s \cdot (A, a, B, b) = (s^N A, s^N a, sB, sb), \qquad (N > n).$$

It commutes with the $U(n)$-action and it admits moment map $\varphi : (\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\varphi(A, a, B, b) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\big(NAA^* + BB^* + Na^*a + bb^*\big),$$

which is proper and bounded below.

The Chern classes of the vector bundle $\Xi_n = \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}^n /\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}U(n)$ – where $U(n)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^n$ via standard representation – generate the cohomology ring $H(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^n))$ [ES]. This is equivalent to the surjectivity of the Kirwan map $\kappa : H_{U(n)}(\mathcal{M}) \to H(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))$.

Lemma (cf. [HP1] Lemma 4.9). *The equivariant Kirwan map* $\kappa_S : H_{U(n)\times S}(\mathcal{M}) \to H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))$ *is also surjective.*

Proof. Since the S-moment map is proper and bounded below $H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))$ is equivariantly formal, i.e. $H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)) \simeq H(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)) \otimes H_S(pt)$ as $H_S(pt)$-modules ([HP1], [TW]). Recall that $H_S(pt) \simeq \mathbb{R}[\sigma]$ and $H_{U(n)}(pt) \simeq \mathbb{R}[\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n]^{S_n}$, hence $H_{U(n)}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathbb{R}[\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n]^{S_n}$ and $H_{U(n)\times S}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathbb{R}[\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, \sigma]^{S_n}$. Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_{U(n)\times S}(\mathcal{M}) \arrow[r, "\kappa_S"] & H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)) \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
H_{U(n)}(\mathcal{M}) \arrow[u, "i"] \arrow[r, "\kappa"'] & H(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the grading $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{k\geq 0}\mathcal{H}^k$ on $H_{U(n)\times S}(\mathcal{M})$ corresponding to $\oplus_{k\geq 0}H^k(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)) \otimes H_S(pt)$. Recall that κ_S is $H_S(pt)$ linear, hence $\mathcal{H}^0$ is in the image of κ_S. Let $\beta_k \in \mathcal{H}^k$. By surjectivity of the ordinary Kirwan map there is $\alpha_k \in H_{U(n)}(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\kappa(\alpha_k) = \pi(\beta_k).$$

We may consider α_k as an element of $H_{U(n)\times S}(\mathcal{M})$ and we have $\kappa_S(\alpha_k) - \beta_k \in \ker \pi$. Moreover, $\ker \pi = \sigma \cdot H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))$, thus

$$\kappa_S(\alpha_k) - \beta_k = \sigma\beta_{k-1}$$

for some $\beta_{k-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{k-1}$. By inductive hypothesis there is α_{k-1} such that $\kappa_S(\alpha_{k-1}) = \beta_{k-1}$, hence

$$\beta_k = \kappa_S(\alpha_k - \sigma\alpha_{k-1}).$$

□

By surjectivity of κ_S we have $H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)) \simeq \mathbb{R}[\sigma, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n]^{S_n} \big/ \ker \kappa_S$ and by formality

$$H(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)) \simeq H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)) \big/ \sigma H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))$$

as rings. There is a non-degenerate pairing ([HP2]) on $\widehat{H}_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)) := H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)) \otimes \mathbb{R}[1/\sigma]$ given by

$$\langle \widehat{\eta}, \widehat{\gamma} \rangle := \oint_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^n)} \widehat{\eta}\,\widehat{\gamma}, \qquad \forall \widehat{\eta}, \widehat{\gamma} \in \widehat{H}_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)).$$

Therefore, $\eta \in H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))$ is zero exactly when

$$\oint_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)} \eta\,\gamma = 0, \qquad \forall \gamma \in H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)).$$

Hence, by the surjectivity of κ_S we have

$$\ker \kappa_S = \left\{ \alpha \in H_{U(n)\times S}(\mathcal{M}) \,\middle|\, \oint_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)} \kappa_S(\alpha\beta) = 0,\ \forall \beta \in H_{U(n)\times S}(\mathcal{M}) \right\}.$$

We use Theorem 14 to compute this kernel.

Theorem 15. *For any* $\alpha \in H_{U(n)\times S}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathbb{R}[\sigma, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n]^{S_n}$ *we have*

$$\oint_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)} \kappa_S(\alpha) = \frac{1}{vol(T)} \left(\frac{N+1}{N\sigma}\right)^n \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \alpha(p_\lambda, \sigma) b_\lambda(\sigma),$$

where $b_\lambda(\sigma)$ *is defined in (70) and* p_λ *is defined (up to reorder) as follows. Into each box of the Young diagram of* λ *we write an element of* $\mathbb{Z}\sigma$ *according to the next algorithm: we start with the* *bottom left box and we write* $-\sigma$ *into it; if a box is filled with* $q\sigma$ *then we fill the upper neighbor with* $(q-N)\sigma$ *and the right neighbor with* $(q-1)\sigma$*. We put all entries from the boxes into the vector* p_λ*.*

Lemma 12. *Let* $\mathbb{K}$ *be a field and let* $\{q_1,\dots,q_m\}\subset\mathbb{K}^n$, $q_i\neq q_j$ *if* $i\neq j$*. Define* $\mathcal{L}:\mathbb{K}[x_1,\dots,x_n]\to\mathbb{M}$, $P\mapsto\sum_{i=1}^m a_iP(q_i)$, *where* $a_i\in\mathbb{K}^*$*. Then*

$$\{P\in\mathbb{K}[x_1,\dots,x_n]\,|\,\mathcal{L}(PQ)=0,\ \forall Q\}=\cap_{i=1}^m\ker(ev_{q_i})=\cap_{i=1}^m\langle x_j-q_{ij}\,|\,j=1,\dots,n\rangle,$$

where $q_i=(q_{i1},\dots,q_{in})$*.*

Proof. Obviously, $\cap_{i=1}^m\ker(ev_{q_i})\subset\{P\in\mathbb{K}[x_1,\dots,x_n]\,|\,\mathcal{L}(PQ)=0,\ \forall Q\}$. Consider the polynomial

$$Q_i=\prod_{k=1}^n\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ q_{jk}\neq q_{ik}}}^m(x_k-q_{jk})$$

Then $Q_i(q_j)=0$ if $j\neq i$ and $Q_i(q_i)\neq0$. If $P\in\{P\in\mathbb{K}[x_1,\dots,x_n]\,|\,\mathcal{L}(PQ)=0,\ \forall Q\}$ then for all i we have $\mathcal{L}(PQ_i)=a_iP(q_i)Q_i(q_i)=0$, hence $P(q_i)=0$. Thus $P\in\ker(ev_{q_i})$. □

From Theorem 15 and Lemma 12 follows

Theorem 16. *Denote* $C_i(\tau)$ *the ith elementary symmetric polynomial in* $\tau_1,\dots,\tau_n$ *and let*

$$I_\lambda=\langle C_i(\tau)-C_i(p_\lambda)\,|\,i=1,\dots,n\rangle,$$

where $\lambda\vdash n$*. Then*

$$H_S(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))\simeq\mathbb{R}[C_1(\tau),\dots,C_n(\tau),\sigma]/\cap_{\lambda\vdash n}I_\lambda.$$

Proof of Theorem 15. For $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}[\sigma,\tau_1,\dots,\tau_n]^{S_n}$ we have

$$\oint_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)}\kappa_S(\alpha)=\lim_{\epsilon\to0^+}\oint_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)}\kappa_S(\alpha e^{\epsilon(\omega_{\mathbb{R}}-\mu_{\mathbb{R}}+\xi-\varphi\sigma)})=$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to0^+}\frac{1}{n!\,vol(T)}\mathrm{EqRes}_{(\sigma,\tau)}\left[\frac{\alpha(\sigma,\tau)((N+1)\sigma)^n\prod_{1\leq i\neq j\leq n}(\tau_i-\tau_j)((N+1)\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_j)e^{\epsilon\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\tau_i}{2}}}{N^n\sigma^{2n}\prod_{1\leq i\neq j\leq n}(\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_j)(N\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_j)\prod_{k=1}^n(\sigma+\tau_k)(N\sigma-\tau_k)}\right]=$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to0^+}\frac{1}{n!\,vol(T)}\left(\frac{N+1}{N\sigma}\right)^n\mathrm{EqRes}_{(\sigma,\tau)}\left[\frac{\alpha(\sigma,\tau)\prod_{1\leq i\neq j\leq n}(\tau_i-\tau_j)((N+1)\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_j)e^{\epsilon\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\tau_i}{2}}}{\prod_{1\leq i\neq j\leq n}(\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_j)(N\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_j)\prod_{k=1}^n(\sigma+\tau_k)(N\sigma-\tau_k)}\right],$$

by Theorem 14 for $\mathcal{M}/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/_{(\xi,0)}U(n)$. Remark that since $\sum_{i=1}^n\tau_i$ is generic we may use the polarizing bases $\{\sigma,\tau\}$ for calculating the equivariant Jeffrey-Kirwan residue. We choose scalar product on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ such that τ is an orthonormal bases.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{N\sigma - \tau_i,\, \sigma + \tau_i,\, \sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j,\, N\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j \,|\, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$. Let V be a hyperplane in $\mathfrak{t}^* \oplus \mathfrak{s}^*$ spanned by some of elements of $\mathcal{A}$ such that V projects bijectively to $\mathfrak{t}^*$, i.e. V is a pole of

$$F(\tau, \sigma) = \frac{\prod\limits_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} (\tau_i - \tau_j)((N+1)\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j)}{\prod\limits_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} (\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j)(N\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j) \prod\limits_{k=1}^{n} (\sigma + \tau_k)(N\sigma - \tau_k)}.$$

Consider the system of equation $\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_V = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \,|\, \alpha \in V\}$ in $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n$ unknowns and σ parameter. It has a unique solution of form $\tau_i = p_i\sigma$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and

$$V = \langle \tau_1 - p_1\sigma, \, \ldots, \, \tau_n - p_n\sigma \rangle.$$

Denote $p_V = (p_1\sigma, \ldots, p_n\sigma)$. We say that a pole V does not contribute if

$$\mathrm{JKRes}_v \alpha(\tau(v, \sigma), \sigma) F(\tau(v, \sigma), \sigma) e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \tau_i(v,\sigma)} dv = 0$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[\sigma, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n]^{S_n}$ and where v is the bases on V induced by $\{\sigma, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n\}$. Recall that V does not contribute if $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i \notin \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*}(Cone(\alpha \,|\, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_V))$, where $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} : \mathfrak{t}^* \oplus \mathfrak{s}^* \to \mathfrak{s}^*$ is the projection.

Lemma 13. *A pole V does not contribute (or exists) if any of the following holds:*

1. *there exist i such that there is no $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_V$ with positive τ_i-coefficient, i.e. $\sigma + \tau_i$, $\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j, N\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j \notin \mathcal{A}_V$ for all j.*
2. *$p_k = m$ with $m \geq 0$ for some k,*
3. *$N\sigma - \tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_V$ for some i,*
4. *$\sigma + \tau_i,\ \varepsilon\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j \in \mathcal{A}_V$ for some $i \neq j$ ($\varepsilon \in \{1, N\}$),*
5. *$\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j,\ N\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j \in \mathcal{A}_V$ for some $i \neq j$,*
6. *$\varepsilon\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j,\ \varepsilon'\sigma + \tau_j - \tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_V$ for some $i \neq j$ ($\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{1, N\}$),*
7. *$\sigma + \tau_i \notin \mathcal{A}_V$ for all i.*

Proof.

1. If $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i \in \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*}(Cone(\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_V))$ then for all i there must be an $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_V$ with positive τ_i coefficient.
2. Let M be an index such that p_M is maximal, hence $p_M \geq 0$. Then $\varepsilon\sigma + \tau_M - \tau_l \notin \mathcal{A}_V$ ($\varepsilon \in \{1, N\}$) for all l since it would imply that $p_l = p_M + \varepsilon > p_M$. Moreover, $\sigma + \tau_M \notin \mathcal{A}_V$ since it would imply that $p_M = -1 < 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_V$ does not contain element with positive τ_M coefficient.
3. It is equivalent that $p_i = N > 0$.
4. It follows that $p_i = -1$ and $p_j = \varepsilon - 1 \geq 0$.
5. $(N-1)\sigma = (N\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j) - (\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j) \in V$, hence if $n \geq 2$ then $\sigma \in V$ which implies that V is not a pole.
6. Similar to the previous one.
7. By (3) we can suppose that $\mathcal{A}_V$ contains only elements of form $\varepsilon\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j$ ($\varepsilon \in \{1, N\}$), but these are perpendicular to $\sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i$ with respect to the usual Euclidean scalar product on $\mathfrak{t}^* \oplus \mathfrak{s}^*$, hence $\sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i \notin \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*}(Cone(\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_V))$.

□

Lemma 14. *Let V be a pole. We cannot decompose $\{0,1,\dots,n\} = N' \uplus N''$ as disjoint union of two non-empty subsets with properties:*

- *if $N\sigma - \tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_V$ or $\sigma + \tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_V$ then $0, i \in N'$ or $0, i \in N''$.*
- *if $\varepsilon\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j \in \mathcal{A}_V$ $(\varepsilon \in \{1, N\})$ then $i, j \in N'$ or $i, j \in N''$.*

Proof. Suppose that $0 \in N'$. The decomposition $\{0,1,\dots,n\} = N' \uplus N''$ induces a decomposition $\mathcal{A}_V = \mathcal{A}'_V \uplus \mathcal{A}''_V$ according to an element $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_V$ involving terms τ_i we have $i \in N'$ or $i \in N''$. Remark that $\mathcal{A}''_V$ may contain only elements of form $\varepsilon\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j$. Moreover, elements of $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*}\mathcal{A}''_V$ cannot span $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}'_V \neq \emptyset$.

We will show that $\mathcal{A}''_V = \emptyset$ which implies that $N'' = \emptyset$. The elements $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*}\mathcal{A}'_V$ span a subpace V' of $\langle \tau_i \mid i \in N' \setminus \{0\} \rangle$ with dimension at most $|N'| - 1$. The elements $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*}\mathcal{A}''_V$ span a subspace V'' of $\langle \tau_i \mid i \in N'' \rangle$. If $N'' \neq \emptyset$ then the dimension of V'' is at most $|N''| - 1$ because all elements of $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*}\mathcal{A}''_V$ are perpendicular to $\sum_{i \in N''} \tau_i$. Finally, if $N'' \neq \emptyset$ then $V' \cap V'' = \{0\}$ and $\dim V' + \dim V'' \leq |N'| - 1 + |N''| - 1 = n - 1$ which leads to contradiction since V is a pole. □

To each pole V we associate an oriented graph Γ_V with vertices $\{0,1,\dots,n\}$. The vertices will lie on the lattice $\mathbb{Z} \times N\mathbb{Z}$. We allow that two vertex lie on same lattice point. The vertex 0 is always on the lattice point $(0,0)$. The edges of Γ_V correspond to elements of $\mathcal{A}_V$ as follows.

$\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_V$	edges of Γ_V
$N\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j$	vertical edge from j to i
$N\sigma - \tau_i$	vertical edge from i to 0
$\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_j$	horizontal edge from j to i
$\sigma + \tau_i$	horizontal edge from 0 to i

The vertical and horizontal edges go as $(a, bN) \to (a, (b+1)N)$ and $(a, b) \to (a+1, b)$, respectively. We construct Γ_V inductively as follows. Choose a bases $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ of $\mathcal{A}_V$. We may suppose that $\alpha_1 = \sigma + \tau_i$ or $\alpha_1 = N\sigma - \tau_i$. We place first the vertex 0 to $(0,0)$. Suppose that we have already placed some vertices on the lattice. In a turn, we place all other vertices which can be connected to already placed vertices following the rules in the above table for bases vectors. Finally, we can place all vertices by Lemma 14 and the edges corresponding to bases vectors form a tree (i.e. no loop). The shortest oriented edge path $(e_1, \dots, e_m)$ on this tree from 0 to i gives relation

$$\tau_i - p_i\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \alpha_{k_j},$$

where α_{k_j} is the bases vector corresponding to the edge e_j and $a_j = \pm 1$ depending on if the orientation of the edge e_j agrees with our walking direction on the path or no. Since $m \leq n$ we get

$$p_i = a + bN$$

with $-n \leq a + b \leq n$. Thus p_i determines the coordinates of the vertex i on the lattice: the vertex i has coordinates $(-a, -bN)$ where $a = p_i \mod N$. Remark that the vertex i is on the line $x + y + p_i = 0$. Moreover, the coordinates of the vertices does not depend on the choice of the bases $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, implying that for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_V$ we can draw an edge according to the above table. Remark that, if vertices i and j lie on the same lattice point and there is an edge $i \to k$ (or $k \to i$) then there exists also an edge from $j \to k$ (or $k \to j$ respectively). Hence drawing edges will not lead to confusion if vertices lie on the same lattice point.

Remark. The graph Γ_V is full in the sense that if there is a vertex i at coordinates (a, b) and another vertex j at coordinates $(a+1, b)$ ($(a, b+N)$ respectively) then there must be an edge $i \to j$ if $j \neq 0$ ($i \neq 0$, respectively).

We rephrase the Lemma 13 for graphs.

Corollary 4. *The pole V does not contribute (or does not exist) if any of the following holds.*

1. *There are multiple edges between two vertices.*
2. *There is an edge with target vertex 0.*
3. *There is a vertex $i \neq 0$ which has no tail. A tail of i is an edge $k \to i$.*
4. *There is a vertex $i \neq 0$ in the region $\{x \leq 0\}$, i.e i has coordinates (a, b) with $a \leq 0$.*
5. *There is a vertex i in the region $\{y < 0\}$, i.e. i has coordinates (a, b) with $b < 0$.*

Proof. (1) By Lemma 13 (5).

(2) By Lemma 13 (3)

(3) By Lemma 13 (1)

(4) Suppose that there is a vertex $i \neq 0$ in the region $\{x \leq 0\}$. Then let $k \neq 0$ be a vertex in $\{x \leq 0\}$ lying on the line $x + y + m = 0$ with m maximal. Hence k has no tail. Indeed, if there is an edge $l \to k$ then l is in $\{x \leq 0\}$. Moreover, $l \neq 0$ since there is only horizontal arrow from 0. But l is on the line $x + y + m + 1 = 0$ if $l \to k$ is horizontal and it is on the line $x + y + m + N = 0$ if $l \to k$ is vertical, leading to contradiction with maximality of m.

(5) Let k be a vertex in $\{y < 0\}$ lying on the line $x + y + m = 0$ with m maximal. Then k has no tail. Indeed, suppose that there is a edge $l \to k$. Then l is in the region $\{y < 0\}$ and it is on the line $x + y + m + 1 = 0$ if $l \to k$ is horizontal and it is on the line $x + y + m + N = 0$ if $l \to k$ is vertical contradicting to the maximality of m.

□

Set F_{Γ_V} to F. If Γ_V contains a subgraphs

$$\begin{tikzcd} & k \\ 0 \neq i \arrow[r] & j \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd} \tag{62}$$

then we have partial fraction decomposition

$$F_{\Gamma_V} = G \frac{((N+1)\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_i)}{(\sigma + \tau_j - \tau_i)(N\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_j)} = G \frac{1}{N\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_j} + G \frac{1}{\sigma + \tau_j - \tau_i}. \tag{63}$$

Let $\widehat{\Gamma}'_V$ (respectively $\widehat{\Gamma}''_V$) be the extended graph obtained from Γ_V by removing the edge $i \to j$ (respectively $j \to k$) and adding the dashed diagonal edge $i \dashrightarrow k$. To each dashed diagonal edge $i \dashrightarrow k$ we associate the term $(N+1)\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_i$ in the nominator of F_{Γ_V}. Denote Γ'_V and

Γ''_V the graphs obtained from $\widehat{\Gamma}'_V$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}''_V$, respectively by forgetting the dashed diagonal edges. Moreover, to extended graphs $\widehat{\Gamma}'_V$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}''_V$ we associate fractions

$$F_{\widehat{\Gamma}'_V} = G\frac{1}{N\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_j} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\widehat{\Gamma}''_V} = G\frac{1}{\sigma + \tau_j - \tau_i}.$$

Then $F_{\widehat{\Gamma}'_V}$ (and $F_{\widehat{\Gamma}''_V}$) can be obtained from F_{Γ_V} by removing from the nominator and denominator the terms corresponding to the added dashed edges and removed normal edges, respectively. In picture the relation (63) looks like

(64)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & k & & & k & & & k . \\
0 \neq i \arrow[r] & j \arrow[u] & \mapsto & 0 \neq i \arrow[ru, dashed] & j \arrow[u] & + & 0 \neq i \arrow[r] \arrow[ru, dashed] & j
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have a similar decomposition corresponding to

(65)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
l \arrow[r] & k & & l \arrow[r] & k & & l & k , \\
 & & \mapsto & & & + & & \\
i \arrow[uu] & & & i \arrow[ruu, dashed] & & & i \arrow[uu] \arrow[ruu, dashed] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

namely,

$$F_{\Gamma_V} = G\frac{((N+1)\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_i)}{(\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_l)(N\sigma + \tau_l - \tau_i)} = G\frac{1}{\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_l} + G\frac{1}{N\sigma + \tau_l - \tau_i}.$$

We can continue these type of decomposition for $F_{\widehat{\Gamma}'_V}$ and $F_{\widehat{\Gamma}''_V}$ to get a partial fraction decomposition of form

$$F_{\Gamma_V} = \sum_{\widehat{\Upsilon}} F_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}$$

where $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ is an extended graph such that Υ is a subgraph of Γ_V and obtained from $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ by forgetting all dashed diagonal edges. The fraction $F_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}$ is encoded by $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ as follows: we remove all linear terms from the nominator and denominator of F_{Γ_V} corresponding to added dashed diagonal edges and removed normal edges, respectively. Remark that if $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ contains the subgraph

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & k \\
0 \neq i \arrow[r] \arrow[ru, dashed] & j \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

then we cannot decompose $F_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}$ to $G'\frac{1}{N\sigma+\tau_k-\tau_j} + G'\frac{1}{\sigma+\tau_j-\tau_i}$ (according to the picture (64)) since $(N+1)\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_i$ is missing from the nominator of $F_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}$. An analog statement holds for the decomposition (65).

Similarly as Corollary 4 (3) we have

Lemma 15. *For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[\sigma, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n]^{S_n}$ we have*

$$\mathrm{JKRes}_v \alpha(\tau(v,\sigma),\sigma) F_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}(\tau(v,\sigma),\sigma) e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_i(v,\sigma)} dv = 0$$

if in Υ there is a vertex $i \neq 0$ without tail.

Lemma 16. (1) *Suppose that Γ_V contains the subgraph (62). If there is no vertex l such that Γ_V contains the subgraph*

$$\begin{tikzcd} l \arrow[r] & k \\ 0 \neq i \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & j \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

then V does not contribute.

(2) *Suppose that Γ_V contains the subgraph*

$$\begin{tikzcd} l \arrow[r] & k \\ i \arrow[u] & \end{tikzcd}$$

. If there is no vertex j such that Γ_V contains the subgraph

$$\begin{tikzcd} l \arrow[r] & k \\ 0 \neq i \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & j \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

then V does not contribute.

(3) *If there are multiple vertices on the same lattice point.*

Proof. (1) By decomposition (64) we have $F_{\Gamma_V} = F_{\widehat{\Gamma}'_V} + F_{\widehat{\Gamma}''_V}$. If there is no horizontal edge $l \to k$ then k has no tail in Γ''_V, hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{JKRes}_v \alpha(\tau(v,\sigma),\sigma) F_{\Gamma_V}(\tau(v,\sigma),\sigma) e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tau_i(v,\sigma)} dv \\ &\qquad = \mathrm{JKRes}_v \alpha(\tau(v,\sigma),\sigma) F_{\widehat{\Gamma}'_V}(\tau(v,\sigma),\sigma) e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tau_i(v,\sigma)} dv \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Since j has no horizontal tail in Γ'_V, the right hand side of (66) vanishes unless there is a vertical tail $j_1 \to j$. If j_1 has no tail then (66) still vanishes. If it has a horizontal tail by a similar decomposition and argument we can get rid of it. So is it safe to suppose that it may have only vertical tail. But j may have only finitely many vertices below it, hence the last one has no vertical tail and (66) anyhow vanishes.

If $l \to k$ horizontal edge exists then the existences of $i \to l$ follows from

$$N\sigma + \tau_l - \tau_i = (N\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_j) - (\sigma + \tau_k - \tau_l) + (\sigma + \tau_j - \tau_i) \in V.$$

(2) The proof is similar to the previous case.

(3) Suppose that there are two vertices i and j on the same lattice point (a,b). We may suppose that there are no more double vertices on lattice points $(a,b) + \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$.

If there are only vertical or horizontal tail to i (and therefore to j, too) then by decomposition

$$F = G\frac{\tau_i - \tau_j}{(\varepsilon\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_k)(\varepsilon\sigma + \tau_j - \tau_k)} = -G\frac{1}{\varepsilon\sigma + \tau_i - \tau_k} + G\frac{1}{\varepsilon\sigma + \tau_j - \tau_k}, \qquad \varepsilon \in \{1, N\} \tag{67}$$

or

$$F = G\frac{\tau_i - \tau_j}{(\sigma + \tau_i)(\sigma + \tau_j)} = -G\frac{1}{\sigma + \tau_i} + G\frac{1}{\sigma + \tau_j}.$$

we get two graphs in which i or j has no tail. By Lemma 15 the pole V does not contribute.

Suppose that i (and therefore j) has both vertical and horizontal tails. By Corollary 4 (4) and (5) we can suppose that $a \neq 1$ and $b \neq 0$. By decomposition (67) we get

$$\begin{aligned} F = {} & G\frac{(\tau_i-\tau_j)(\tau_j-\tau_i)}{(\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_l)(\sigma+\tau_j-\tau_l)(N\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_k)(N\sigma+\tau_j-\tau_k)} \\ = {} & -G\frac{1}{(\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_l)(N\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_k)}+G\frac{1}{(\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_l)(N\sigma+\tau_j-\tau_k)} \\ & +G\frac{1}{(\sigma+\tau_j-\tau_l)(N\sigma+\tau_i-\tau_k)}-G\frac{1}{(\sigma+\tau_j-\tau_l)(N\sigma+\tau_j-\tau_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

In picture

$$\begin{tikzcd}
l \arrow[r, shift left, "i"] \arrow[r, shift right, "j"'] & i,j & & l \arrow[r, "i"] & i,j & & l \arrow[r, "i"] & i,j & & l \arrow[r, "j"'] & i,j & & l \arrow[r, "j"'] & i,j \\
& & \mapsto & & & + & & & + & & & + & & \\
& k \arrow[uu, shift left, "i"] \arrow[uu, shift right, "j"'] & & & k \arrow[uu, "i"] & & & k \arrow[uu, "j"'] & & & k \arrow[uu, "i"] & & & k \arrow[uu, "j"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

(the labels on the edges indicate the target of the edge). On the first and last graphs on the right hand side i and respectively j have no tails, so they do not contribute. By symmetry consider only the second graph on the right hand side. Suppose that l has a vertical tail $h \to l$. By decomposition (65) we get

$$\begin{tikzcd}
l \arrow[r, "i"] & i,j & & l \arrow[r, "i"] & i,j & & l & i,j \\
& & \mapsto & & & + & & \\
h \arrow[uu] & k \arrow[uu, "j"'] & & h \arrow[uur, dashed, "i"] & k \arrow[uu, "j"'] & & h \arrow[uu] \arrow[uur, dashed, "i"] & k \arrow[uu, "j"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

and on the last graph i does not have a tail (a non-dashed edge). Iterating the same argument we may assume that all vertices in the row of l have only horizontal tail. Since $b \neq 0$, the last vertex in that row will not have tail. Therefore V does not contribute.

□

Lets summarize what we showed so far. A pole V does not contribute unless

- there are no double vertices, i.e. two vertex on the same lattice point,
- there are no double edges, i.e. two edges between two vertex,
- the vertices $\{1,\dots,n\}$ are in the quadrant $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,
- every vertex $\{1,\dots,n\}$ has a tail,
- all lattice points in $\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}\times N\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid x<a,\ y<b\}$ carry a vertex if (a,b) carries a vertex (follows from Lemma 16).

For such a pole if we draw a box around each non-zero vertex we get a Young diagram, labeled by $\{1,\dots,n\}$. Conversely, for any Young diagram such that each box contains in the center a unique lattice point in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}\times N\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and it is labeled by $1,\dots,n$, we can associate a pole $V=\langle \tau_1-p_1\sigma,\dots,\tau_n-p_n\sigma\rangle$ with $p_i=-(a_i+Nb_i)$ if the box labeled by i contains the lattice point (a_i,Nb_i).

Let V be a pole obtained from a labeled Young diagram D. We can decompose $F = F_{\Gamma_V}$ to partial fractions with the following algorithm. Take the top- and leftmost subgraph

$$\begin{tikzcd} l \arrow[r] & k \\ i \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & j \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

and apply the decomposition corresponding to the picture (65): $F_{\Gamma_V} = F_{\widehat{\Gamma}'_V} + F_{\widehat{\Gamma}''_V}$, where $\widehat{\Gamma}'_V$ (respectively $\widehat{\Gamma}''_V$) is the graph obtained from Γ_V by removing the edge $l \to k$ (respectively $i \to l$) and adding the dashed diagonal edge $i \dashrightarrow k$. Remark that in $\widehat{\Gamma}''_V$ the vertex l has no tail. Hence (66) holds. We continue the algorithm as above with $\widehat{\Gamma}'_V$ in place of Γ_V. Finally, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{JKRes}_v \alpha(\tau(v,\sigma),\sigma) F_{\Gamma_V}(\tau(v,\sigma),\sigma) e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tau_i(v,\sigma)} dv \\ &\qquad = \mathrm{JKRes}_v \alpha(\tau(v,\sigma),\sigma) F_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}(\tau(v,\sigma),\sigma) e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tau_i(v,\sigma)} dv, \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ is the extended graph obtained from Γ_V by removing all horizontal edges except the ones in the bottom row and by adding dashed diagonal edges under each horizontal edge removed. The graph Υ has exactly n edges (non-dashed edges), thus in the denominator $F_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}$ has exactly n linear term which are in V. They form a bases of V, since each τ_i appears with positive coefficient in a unique linear term. It also follows that V contributes and (68) equals

$$\frac{1}{vol(T)} \alpha(p_V,\sigma) b_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}(p_V,\sigma) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i\sigma}, \tag{69}$$

where $b_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}$ is obtained from $F_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}$ by removing all linear terms in V from the denominator. Remark that by permuting labels of D we act freely on the set of poles, but $b_{\widehat{\Upsilon}}(p_V,\sigma)$ remains invariant, therefore we denote it by

$$b_\lambda(\sigma), \tag{70}$$

where λ is the partition corresponding to the Young diagram D. Moreover, for all poles V obtained from the same Young diagram D but with different labeling (69) yields the same result, since α is symmetric in $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$. Finally,

$$\oint_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)} \kappa_S(\alpha) = \frac{1}{vol(T)} \left(\frac{N+1}{N\sigma}\right)^n \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \alpha(p_\lambda,\sigma) b_\lambda(\sigma).$$

□

References

[A] M.F. Atiyah, *Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 14 (1982), 1-15.

[AB] M.F. Atiyah, R. Bott, *The moment map and equivariant cohomology*, Topology, 23(1):128, 1984.

[BeV] N. Berline, M. Vergne, *Classes caractéristiques équivariantes. Formule de localisation en cohomologie équivariante,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 295(9):539-541, 1982.

[BT] R. Bott, L. W. Tu, *Equivariant characteristic classes in the Cartan model*, arXiv preprint math/0102001 (2001).

[BiV] M. Brion, M. Vergne, *Arrangements of hyperplane. I. Rational functions and the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue*, Annales scientifiques de lÉ.N.S. 4e série, tome 32, n° 5 (1999), p. 715 - 741

[DH] J.J. Duistermaat and G.J. Heckman, *On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space*, Invent. Math., 69(2):259-268, 1982.

[ES] G. Ellingsrud and S.A. Strømme, *On the homology of the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane*, Invent. Math. 87 (1987), 343-352.

[GKG] V. Guillemin, Y. Karshon, V.L. Ginzburg, *Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions*, Vol. 98. Amer Mathematical Society, 2002.

[GS1] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Supersymmetry and equivariant de Rham theory.* Vol. 2. Springer, 1999.

[GS2] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping I.* Invent. Math. 67 (1982) 491-513.

[HP1] M. Harada, N. Proudfoot, *Properties of the residual circle action on a hypertoric variety.* Pacific J. Math 214.2 (2004): 263-284.

[HP2] T. Hausel, N. Proudfoot, *Abelianization for hyperkähler quotients*, Topology 44.1 (2005): 231-248

[JK1] L.C. Jeffrey, F.C. Kirwan, *Localization for nonabelian group actions*, Topology 34 (1995), no. 2, 291 - 327.

[JK2] L.C. Jeffrey, Frances C. Kirwan, *Localization and the quatization conjecture*, Topology, Volume 36, No. 3, pp. 647 - 693, 1997.

[JKo] L. Jeffrey, M. Kogan, *Localization theorems by symplectic cuts.* in "The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry" (2005): 303-326.

[Ki] F.C. Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, volume 31 of Mathematical Notes. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.

[Ler] E. Lerman, *Symplectic cuts.* Math. Res. Lett 2.3 (1995): 247-258.

[LMTW] . Lerman, E. Meinrenken, S. Tolman, C. Woodward, *Nonabelian convexity by symplectic cuts*, Topology 37 (1998), no. 2, 245-259

[LS] M. Lehn, C. Sorger. *Symmetric groups and the cup product on the cohomology of Hilbert schemes*, Duke Mathematical Journal 110.2 (2001): 345-357.

[Ma1] J. Martens, *Equivariant volumes of non-compact quotients and instanton counting*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 281.3 (2008): 827-857.

[Ma2] S. Martin, *Symplectic quotients by a nonabelian group and by its maximal torus.* arXiv preprint math/0001002 (2000).

[MS] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology.* Oxford University Press, USA, 1999.

[Me] E. Meinrenken, *Symplectic Surgery and the Spin^c-Dirac Operator*, Advances in mathematics 134.2 (1998): 240-277

[MNS] G. Moore, N. Nekrasov, S. Shatashvili. *Integrating over Higgs branches*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 209.1 (2000): 97-121.

[Na] H. Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces.* Vol. 18. Amer Mathematical Society, 1999.

[PW] E. Prato, S. Wu. *Duistermaat-Heckman measures in a non-compact setting*, Compositio Math 94.2 (1994): 113-128.

[TW] S. Tolman, J. Weitsman, *The cohomology rings of symplectic quotients.* Communications in Analysis and Geometry 11.4 (2003): 751-774.

[Va] E. Vasserot, *Sur l'anneau de cohomologie du schéma de Hilbert de $\mathbb{C}^2$*, Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences. Série 1, Mathématique 332.1 (2001): 7-12.

Section de Mathématiques, Université de Genève, Genève, Suisse.
E-mail address: `zsolt.szilagyi@unige.ch`